Effects of a Digital Therapeutic Adjunct to Eating Disorder Treatment on Health Care Service Utilization and Clinical Outcomes: Retrospective Observational Study Using Electronic Health Records

Jorge E Palacios¹, MD, PhD; Kathryn K Erickson-Ridout², MD, PhD; Jane Paik Kim³, PhD; Stuart Buttlaire², MBA, PhD; Samuel Ridout², MD, PhD; Stuart Argue¹, BSCS; Jenna Tregarthen¹, MA

¹Bright Therapeutics, San Francisco, CA, United States
 ²Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, CA, United States
 ³Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States

Corresponding Author:

Jorge E Palacios, MD, PhD Bright Therapeutics 304 Moultrie St San Francisco, CA, 94110 United States Phone: 1 6504047098 Email: jorge.palacios@brighttherapeutics.com

Abstract

Background: The need for scalable solutions facilitating access to eating disorder (ED) treatment services that are efficient, effective, and inclusive is a major public health priority. Remote access to synchronous and asynchronous support delivered via health apps has shown promise, but results are so far mixed, and there are limited data on whether apps can enhance health care utilization.

Objective: This study aims to examine the effects of app-augmented treatment on clinical outcomes and health care utilization for patients receiving treatment for an ED in outpatient and intensive outpatient levels of care.

Methods: Recovery Record was implemented in outpatient and intensive outpatient services in a California-based health maintenance organization. We examined outcomes for eligible patients with ED by comparing clinical and service utilization medical record data over a 6-month period after implementation with analogous data for the control group in the year prior. We used a logistic regression model and inverse-weighted estimates of the probability of treatment to adjust for treatment selection bias.

Results: App-augmented treatment was associated with a significant decrease in emergency department visits (P<.001) and a significant increase in outpatient treatment utilization (P<.001). There was a significantly larger weight gain for patients in low-weight categories (ie, underweight, those with anorexia, or those with severe anorexia) with app-augmented treatment (treatment effect: 0.74, 0.25, and 0.35, respectively; P=.02), with a greater percentage of patients moving into a higher BMI class (P=.01).

Conclusions: Integrating remote patient engagement apps into ED treatment plans can have beneficial effects on both clinical outcomes and service utilization. More research should be undertaken on long-term efficacy and cost-effectiveness to further explore the impact of digital health interventions in ED care.

(JMIR Ment Health 2024;11:e59145) doi: 10.2196/59145

KEYWORDS

RenderX

digital therapeutics; app-augmented therapy; eating disorders; health care utilization; costs; real-world data; depression; emergency department; outpatient care; eating; treatment; therapy; retrospective analysis; electronic health record; patient; app; outpatient

Introduction

The need for scalable solutions facilitating access to eating disorder (ED) treatment services that are efficient, effective, and inclusive is a major public health priority. EDs continue to be a large burden on populations across the globe, with recent reviews suggesting a lifetime prevalence of any ED of 1.69% [1]. The global burden of disease attributable to ED has risen sharply in recent years, and factors including emerging food technology and weight stigma exacerbated through social media [2] could perpetuate the trend toward increasing ED prevalence over the coming years.

Despite some progress being made in addressing treatment access barriers, most individuals with ED either fail to seek or fail to adequately participate in specialized care. Reviews have found that more than half of identified individuals with an ED have never accessed treatment for their condition [3]. For those who do access specialized care, dropout rates are high, with up to 73% of patients with ED dropping out of outpatient treatment [4]. A contribution to high dropout may be barriers related to the dissemination and implementation of empirically supported treatments for ED, with clinicians reporting concerns about the generalizability of research findings and modifying existing treatment in clinical practice [5]. Without adequately participating in evidence-based treatment, individuals may experience a longer duration of illness, worsening of clinical outcomes, and higher rates of hospitalization and rehospitalization [6,7]. In the United States, health care utilization data show that inpatient admissions for EDs doubled in 2019, and the length of stay also increased from a median of 9 days between June and December 2019 to a median of 12 days over the same period in 2020 [8]. Such high utilization contributes to the economic costs of EDs in the United States, estimated recently to be US \$64.7 billion (95% CI US \$63.5 to US\$66.0 billion) [9]. Furthermore, 20 studies were included in a systematic review on the economic burden of anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN), and binge eating disorder (BED), finding an association for all 3 conditions with increased health service use, which included emergency, inpatient, and outpatient care [10].

Contemporary digital therapeutic (DTx) adjuncts such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) apps and remote patient engagement systems are innovations that may support the effective implementation of, and patient engagement in, evidence-based treatments for ED [11-13]. Specifically, for overburdened practitioners with large caseloads, these tools may facilitate higher "doses" of synchronous and asynchronous support through app-delivered, day-to-day interventions and brief, therapist-delivered feedback [14]. Transitioning away from burdensome, paper-based, cognitive-behavioral meal monitoring and journaling toward a responsive digital format may increase completion rates and thus better support practitioners in the delivery of personalized care, guided by data

on relevant areas of clinical concern [15]. Finally, such tools may facilitate timely help seeking by removing geographical barriers, thereby preventing escalation of symptoms and the need for higher levels of care [16].

Such DTx adjuncts for ED have demonstrated promising early outcomes in terms of their effectiveness [17,18]. However, research examining the real-world applicability of these digital innovations, app-based interventions, and their impact on health care service utilization remains scarce. One systematic review on cost-effectiveness studies for general, nondigital interventions for ED first published in 2017 identified 13 studies and had inconclusive findings, with interventions being either cost-saving or effective and more costly than their comparators [19]. More recently, a randomized controlled trial (RCT) incorporating a web-based, unguided self-help program was conducted with an accompanying cost-effectiveness study. The authors found nonsignificant differences in favor of the web-based program with regard to costs and quality of life-adjusted life-years between all 4 conditions, which included the web-based unguided program, expert-patient support via email, the program and support combined, and treatment as usual (TAU) [20]. However, to our knowledge, no study has yet focused on the impact of health care utilization upon the implementation of app-augmented treatment (AAT) for ED.

Consequently, the focus of this study is to assess the effects of incorporating an AAT that offers a remote patient engagement system in outpatient and intensive outpatient ED treatment settings. The AAT includes TAU plus a CBT-based mobile app that delivers therapeutic self-monitoring tasks and just-in-time therapeutic interventions to patients multiple times per day, which are tied to diagnosis-specific treatment goals. Therefore, we examined how receiving AAT compared with TAU with regard to health outcomes as well as ambulatory and acute psychiatric service utilization within a large, integrated health maintenance organization (HMO).

Methods

Setting

This is a retrospective observational study emulating a pragmatic, clustered RCT (see Table 1 for comparison of the specified and emulated target trial design features) via the reporting and analysis of data collected within the medical record system of Kaiser Permanente Northern California (KPNC) and the Recovery Record (RR) mobile ED management program app.

KPNC is a large, integrated health care system serving more than 4.6 million patients (36% of the regional population) insured through commercial, Medicare, Medicaid, and health insurance exchange plans. KPNC patients are highly representative of the ethnic and socioeconomic diversity of the surrounding and statewide population [21].



Table 1. Target trial protocol (specification and emulation).

Protocol component	Description	Ran	domized controlled trial specification	Emulation in this study using observational cohorts
Eligibility criteria	Who will be included in the study?	•	Patients with an ICD ^a diagnosis for an eating disorder; age ≥ 13 years	Same as for specification. Required data for each person: primary diagnosis, age, treatment appointment status, and treatment strategy assignment.
Treatment strategies	What interventions will eligible persons re- ceive?	1. 2.	App-augmented treatment: treatment as usual + Recovery Record app Treatment as usual: psychotherapy, dietet- ic support, and medication management.	Same as for specification. Required data: baseline appointment with 1 of the 2 interventions.
Treatment assignment	How will eligible per- sons be assigned to the interventions?	•	Pragmatic trial without blind assignment. Participants are randomly assigned to ei- ther strategy and are aware of the strategy to which they have been assigned.	Eligible persons will be assigned to the strategies with which their data were compatible at the time of eligibility. Inverse probability score weighing performed to emulate the random assignment of treatment strategies.
Outcome	What outcomes in eligi- ble persons will be compared among inter- vention groups?	•	Health outcomes: change in BMI, blood pressure, and depressive symptoms at the end of treatment compared with baseline. Health care utilization: outpatient compli- ance and retention (psychiatry and prima- ry care) and emergency department utiliza- tion during treatment.	Same as for specification. Required data: baseline and follow-up BMI, blood pressure, depressive symptoms, outpatient psychiatry and primary care visits, and emergency department visits
Follow-up period	During which period will eligible persons be followed in the study?	•	Starts at baseline and ends after baseline	Same as for specification. Required data: date of loss to follow-up
Causal estimated	Which counterfactual contrasts will be estimated using the above data?	•	Complete case analysis (effect of receiv- ing treatment)	Inverse probability treatment weighted average treatment effect of the AAT ^b
Statistical analysis	How will the counterfac- tual contrasts be estimat- ed?	•	Effect estimated via comparison of change in health outcomes from baseline among individuals assigned to each treatment strategy.	Same as complete case analysis inverse probability treatment weighting

^aICD: International Classification of Diseases.

^bAAT: app-augmented treatment.

While individuals who are members of the majority of health plans in the United States typically receive treatment from multiple providers using different medical records, KPNC members receive all health care services exclusively with KPNC providers who use a singular medical record (Epic Systems). This created the unique opportunity to access a complete data set on clinical and health care service utilization outcomes.

Ethical Considerations

All patients using RR consent to the anonymized use of their data for research and quality improvement when they access the software, as per RR's privacy policy [22]. In addition, the software is fully Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act compliant. As this paper presents an evaluation of an ongoing health service, no approval of a research ethics committee was obtained. Since the study was classified as a quality improvement study and deemed to be of minimal risk, no approval of a research ethics committee was deemed necessary by KPNC. All data included were deidentified prior to analysis. The research was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. No compensation was provided to participants.

Study Population

All included patients received treatment for an ED at 1 of 6 participating KPNC ED treatment programs and were divided into the TAU or ATT group; those in the AAT group were patients who had also successfully linked with a member of their treatment team using the RR app. All patients had received a diagnosis for an ED, per International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes in the medical record, and were continuing members of KPNC, to ensure that they met inclusion criteria of having had access to KPNC's services and complete medical record data for the full run-period of the study (6 months). Members whose health insurance with KPNC was discontinued during the study period were excluded (n=21). Of those continuing members who were identified, we excluded those who were younger than 13 years (n=4); had incomplete data at baseline (n=2666); and those with an ICD-10 code of F98.X, relating to "other behavioral and emotional disorders with onset usually occurring in childhood and adolescence" (n=738). We also excluded those who had a BMI outside the plausible range, that is, less than 8 kg/m² or above 60 kg/m² (n=33).

RenderX

Palacios et al

Interventions

TAU Group

Patients with ED treated in the department of psychiatry received a combination of psychotherapy, dietetic support, and medication management where relevant with a mental health therapist, dietitian, and psychiatric prescriber. Treatment was scheduled at the discretion of the therapist and the patient at regular intervals and could have included a combination of groups, individual therapy, and medication management when indicated. Other specifics of treatment followed American Psychological Association guideline–recommended components including assessment of weight, eating behaviors, weight control behaviors, prior treatment, goal setting, and caregiver engagement [23].

ATT Group

The AAT is a hybrid intervention that combines face-to-face and digital care. Patients received in-person TAU plus linkage to their primary therapist's RR clinician app, registered dietitian's RR clinician app, or both. This connection served to foster the DTx relationship and associated accountability, and

Figure 1. Home screen of the Recovery Record (RR) app.

to extend this relationship into patients' daily lives. Face-to-face time was supplemented with in-the-moment digital check-ins; patient receipt of in-app feedback from their clinical team; and collaborative, regular review of clinical goals and therapeutic outcomes, which were collected via a standardized monthly questionnaire. Patients using RR as a part of their treatment also had the option to continue using the tool after discharge free of charge to help maintain progress.

In addition to daily self-monitoring tasks, RR users received a program of core cognitive-behavioral techniques, such as cognitive restructuring (ie, instead of telling yourself "I am fat and disgusting," change it to: "my eating disorder is telling me I am fat and disgusting"), stimulus control (ie, reduce the chance of binge eating by taking only enough cash with you to pay for necessities), and delaying tactics (ie, putting off acting on an urge for a specific amount of time), along with therapeutic goals, which were reviewed and personalized by their therapist, on a weekly basis. Content was tailored in accordance with the users' diagnosis, which was provided by their therapist, and the contents of their CBT meal monitoring entries. A detailed description of the RR patient app has been published previously [11], and screenshots of the app are shown in Figures 1-4.





Palacios et al

Figure 2. Example meal log on the Recovery Record app.

3:21 🕇	alle	.III 5G¥ 💷						
×	Afterno	on Snack			2			
Time it took t	o comple	te the me	eal					
25 minutes			+					
Level of distr	Level of distress							
1 2 3	4 5	6 7	8	9 10				
1. no distress		10. the n	nost dis	tress eve	er.			
How much of this meal did you eat?								
Some	Half	Most		All				
On a scale, how hungry were you <u>before</u> the meal?								
1 2 3	4 5	6 7	8	9 10				
Strong Hunger								
On a scale, how hungry were you <u>after</u> the meal?								
	Select	from list						

Figure 3. Messaging feature of the Recovery Record app.



XSL•FO RenderX

Palacios et al

Figure 4. Coping skills and affirmations within the Recovery Record app.



Outcomes Measures

These comprised 2 large groups: health care service utilization and health outcomes. Health care service utilization measures included encounters relevant to outpatient treatment compliance and retention, namely, outpatient psychiatric visits completed. In addition, we included emergency department visits, with associated *ICD-10* ED diagnosis, as a health service utilization measure that may be indicative of escalation of ED acuity. Given that ED often requires medical management, records of outpatient visits with primary care physician including an associated ED diagnosis were also obtained. Health outcomes included changes in BMI, changes in blood pressure (BP), and depressive symptoms, which were measured using the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [24].

Statistical Analysis

A summary of the baseline characteristics of the study population was conducted, using frequencies and means as appropriate. We tested for differences between AAT and standard of care using chi-square tests for categorical variables and 2-sample t tests for continuous variables.

The primary outcomes included health care service utilization (ie, the number of outpatient psychiatric visits, outpatient primary care visits, and emergency department visits). Secondary outcomes included health outcomes: BMI change and BP (systolic and diastolic) change. An exploratory outcome included depression scores as measured by the PHQ-9.

To address confounding by observed covariates and reduce the selection bias that exists in the absence of randomization, we

```
https://mental.jmir.org/2024/1/e59145
```

RenderX

used inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPW) for estimating causal effects. IPW is a type of weighting method whose goal is to make the observed sample as representative of the population by weighting outcomes according to the inverse of the probability of treatment assignment [25]. Weights are obtained from a treatment selection model, estimated using logistic regression with the treatment assignment as the dependent variables and the baseline characteristics as independent variables, that is, gender, age at ED diagnoses, current age, treatment facility, history of depression, history of anxiety, history of substance abuse, BP, BMI, history of any intensive outpatient admission, any intensive outpatient admission during the study period, and diagnosis type.

The average treatment effect (ATE) was defined as the mean difference between AAT and TAU with respect to number of outpatient and emergency department visits (primary outcome). For the secondary outcome, ATE was defined by the difference in weighted averages of BMI and BP obtained from the treated and TAU groups. For the exploratory outcome of depression scores, the ATE was defined as the mean difference between AAT and TAU with respect to change in PHQ category.

Significance tests and CIs were based on SEs obtained from the bootstrap method (5000 replications) to calculate the variance of the weighted average of outcomes. We used an a value of .05 for significance, and all tests were 2-sided. A complete case analysis was performed on the primary outcomes, meaning that patients who were missing utilization outcomes data were not included in the primary analysis.

The final analytic cohort included 1154 patients who had complete data on pre- and postintervention BMI as well as all demographic variables needed for inclusion in the weighting model. For the analysis on health care utilization, 1104 (AAT: n=165, TAU: n=939) individuals had complete information on outpatient primary care visits, 939 (AAT: n=173, TAU: n=766) individuals had complete outpatient psychiatric visits, and 222 individuals had complete information on emergency department visits. The analysis on health outcomes excluded individuals who had vitals taken less than 30 days apart, resulting in 535 individuals. The exploratory analysis on depression scores excluded individuals who had taken the PHQ-9 fewer than 30 days apart, resulting in 441 individuals. All analyses were performed using R Statistical Software (version 4.1.2; R Core Team 2021).

Results

Patient Characteristics

Baseline characteristics of patient population are shown in Table 2. Patients in the AAT group were younger, with a mean age of 29 (SD 14) years, than those in the TAU group, with a mean age of 38 (SD 18) years (P<.001); they also had a lower mean BMI of 24.1 (SD 8.4) kg/m² compared with 26.8 (SD 9.4) kg/m² (P<.001). More patients in the AAT group were categorized as underweight (P<.001) and having a history of hypotension (P=.004), with a lower mean resting systolic BP (P=.003). In addition, more patients in the AAT group had a history of a depressive disorder diagnosis (P=.05) and anxiety diagnosis (P<.001); engagement with Intensive Outpatient Programs (IOPs; P<.001); and a current diagnosis of AN (P<.001). Conversely, there were more patients in the TAU group with a diagnosis of BN (P<.001) and other EDs (P<.001).



Table 2. Patient characteristics.

Palacios et al

Variable	AAT ^a (n=174)	TAU ^b (n=971)	P value
Age (year), mean (SD)	29 (14)	38 (18)	<.001
Sex, n (%)			.20
Female	163 (93.7)	878 (90.4)	
Nonfemale	11 (6.3)	93 (9.6)	
Resting blood pressure (mm Hg), mean (SD)			
Systolic	113 (15)	116 (15)	.003
Diastolic	68 (10)	69 (10)	.05
Blood pressure category ^c , n (%)			.004
Hypotensive	29 (17)	145 (15)	
Normal	99 (57)	424 (44)	
Elevated	27 (16)	225 (23)	
High	19 (10)	177 (18)	
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	24.1 (8.4)	26.8 (9.4)	<.001
BMI category ^d , n (%)			<.001
Underweight	43 (24.6)	126 (12.9)	
Normal	81 (46.6)	426 (43.9)	
Overweight	20 (11.5)	152 (15.7)	
Obese	13 (7.5)	92 (9.5)	
Severe obesity	17 (9.8)	175 (18)	
Eating disorder diagnosis, n (%)			<.001
Anorexia nervosa	61 (35.1)	171 (17.6)	
Bulimia nervosa	23 (13.2)	219 (22.6)	
Eating disorder not otherwise specified	66 (37.9)	368 (37.9)	
Other eating disorder	24 (13.8)	213 (21.9)	
History of depressive disorder, n (%)	123 (70.7)	608 (62.6)	.05
History of anxiety disorder, n (%)	138 (79.3)	612 (63)	<.001
History of intensive outpatient program treatment, n (%) $$	90 (51.7)	76 (7.8)	<.001

^aAAT: app-augmented treatment.

^bTAU: treatment as usual.

^cBlood pressure categories were defined as hypotension: <90 mm Hg systolic or <60 mm Hg diastolic, normal: 90-120 mm Hg systolic or 60-80 mm Hg diastolic, elevated: 120-139 mm Hg systolic or 80-89 mm Hg diastolic, and high: \geq 140 mm Hg systolic or \geq 90 mm Hg diastolic. ^dBMI categories defined as underweight: <18.5 kg/m², normal: \geq 18.5 to <25 kg/m², overweight: \geq 25 to <30 kg/m², and obese: \geq 30 to <40 kg/m².

Changes in Patient Health Care Utilization

Patients in the AAT group had an average of 28.7 outpatient psychiatric visits compared with patients in the TAU group, who had an average of 18.5 outpatient psychiatric visits, which was a significant difference (treatment effect [TE] 10.2, 95%

CI 10.0-10.41; P<.001). Patients in the AAT group had fewer emergency department visits (mean 0.14) than patients in the TAU group (mean 0.50; difference of 0.36, 95% CI –0.55 to –0.18; P<.001; Table 3). There was no significant difference in primary care outpatient utilization, with an average of 4.7 visits in the AAT group and 5.1 visits in the TAU group (P=.30).



Table 3. Weighted means of patient health care utilization.

	AAT ^a , mean	TAU ^b , mean	Difference (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
Emergency department visits (n=222)	0.14	0.50	-0.36 (-0.55 to -0.18)	<.001
Outpatient psychiatry visits (n=939)	28.69	18.49	10.2 (10.0 to 10.41)	<.001
Outpatient primary care visits (n=1104)	4.82	5.10	-0.28 (-1.46 to 0.89)	.30

^aAAT: app-augmented treatment.

^bTAU: treatment as usual.

Changes in Patient ED Outcomes

Patients in the AAT group experienced a larger increase in BMI after treatment than those in the control group (0.75 compared with 0.31; TE 0.44, 95% CI –0.05 to 0.92; P=.02; Table 4). Furthermore, a significantly higher proportion of patients in the AAT group changed into a lower BMI category compared with patients in the TAU group (TE 0.19, 95% CI 0.05-0.33; P=.01).

Notably, patients in the AAT group who were underweight at baseline had nearly a 1 weight category increase (0.89 compared with 0.24 in the TAU group; TE 0.65, 95% CI 0.35-0.95; P<.01). There was a significant difference in resting systolic BP, with the AAT group experiencing a larger change (TE –2.30, 95% CI –2.38 to –2.22; P=.05), although there was no significant difference in diastolic BP (TE –1.29, 95% CI –6.38 to 3.79; P=.11).

Table 4	 Weighted 	mean	differences	in	patient	treatment	outcomes
---------	------------------------------	------	-------------	----	---------	-----------	----------

	AAT ^a (n=174), mean dif- ference	TAU ^b (n=971), mean difference	Treatment effect differ- ence (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value differ- ence
BMI	0.75	0.31	0.44 (-0.05 to 0.92)	.02
BMI category	0.30	0.11	0.19 (0.05 to 0.33)	.01
Systolic blood pressure	-2.08	0.22	-2.30 (-2.38 to -2.22)	.05
Diastolic blood pressure	-1.55	-0.3	-1.29 (-6.39 to 3.79)	.11
Blood pressure category	-0.15	-0.057	-0.093 (-0.28 to 0.10)	.20
Depressive symptoms (n=441)	-2.44	-1.48	-0.96 (0.027 to -1.94)	.03

^aAAT: app-augmented treatment.

^bTAU: treatment as usual.

Changes in Patient Depressive Symptoms

Patients in both the AAT group and the TAU group showed significant improvement in depressive symptoms at the end of treatment as measured by the change in raw PHQ-9 scores (pre-post difference of -2.44, 95% CI -3.39 to -1.48 and -1.5, 95% CI -1.72 to -1.24, respectively; *P*<.001 for both). Moreover, patients in the AAT group showed significantly greater improvement in depressive symptoms than patients engaging in the TAU group (TE -0.96, 95% CI 0.027 to -1.94; *P*=.03). This was a clinically significant change, with those in the AAT group showing a larger PHQ-9 change in terms of units of category changes compared with TAU patients (difference -0.22, 95% CI -0.417 to 0.015; *P*=.015).

Discussion

Principal Findings

Our research addresses an important evidence gap regarding the potential of digital therapeutics for EDs to impact health service utilization and health outcomes when implemented in a real-world health system. The results of this study suggest that AAT may increase participation in outpatient ED treatment and result in a significant reduction in disorder-related emergency department visits, which are a large component of

```
https://mental.jmir.org/2024/1/e59145
```

RenderX

associated disease-specific costs [9]. Furthermore, in this study, AAT resulted in significantly and clinically improved health outcomes, with faster weight stabilization in low-weight patients and a greater reduction in depression symptoms.

RR includes several capabilities that automated best practice components of treatment. Patients using the RR mobile app are prompted to complete meal-monitoring entries throughout the day and are systematically introduced to tailored therapeutic coping strategies and clinical goals. The self-monitoring component of the app is provided in an evidence-based CBT format. Meal monitoring is a fundamental component of CBT for EDs and comprises a standard CBT-style question set (what was eaten, with whom, was it sufficient, etc) and some optional additional EDs symptom-focused questions such as urges to engage in compensatory behaviors, current emotional state, compulsive exercise, sleeping patterns, hunger levels, intrusive thoughts, and coping skills used (if any). These questions serve to promote awareness of the nature of the eating problem and identification of contextual and personal triggers that are maintaining disordered behaviors, in addition to the promoters of and barriers to change. Mealtime self-monitoring also creates a structure for routinized eating, which has been found to disrupt dietary restriction and binge eating patterns [26]. In a prior study that included 458 individuals who used the RR app for

self-monitoring without any additional support, 35% of users were classified as in remission and 46% achieved a clinically meaningful reduction in ED symptoms, as per the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire, after 1 month [27]. Furthermore, a qualitative study on use of the RR app describes how patients reported that it helped them become more aware of their eating habits and feel more in control of their recovery [17].

A major strength of this study is its examination of the real-world effects of the implementation of an ED app on health service utilization and clinical outcomes. This analytic approach was made possible due to a multiorganization collaboration that included academics, researchers at a DTx organization, and clinical researchers from a large HMO. Collaboration with the integrated HMO and integration of the RR app with therapist care created the unique opportunity to access a complete medical record data set on clinical and health care service utilization outcomes, allowing for a retrospective analysis in which a causal TE could be estimated.

Moreover, this study took place within a system that treats racially and diagnostically diverse patients and in which therapists are often tasked with managing large caseloads with constrained resources, while faced with high dropout from outpatient services and a high volume of emergency admissions for ED. Given that the most recent metareviews of the literature on treatment of ED have called out an "urgent need for novel treatments, particularly in AN [28]," the findings from this study shed light on the real-world potential of implementing an innovative digital solution in outpatient and intensive outpatient services, with the ultimate goal of helping patients stick to their evidence-based treatment plans for long enough to achieve clinical outcomes.

Due to the fact that this study was conducted in an observational setting, some baseline differences are due to implementation factors within the HMO. For example, the app was implemented extensively in the IOP during the study period, which explains why the history of IOP is higher among the AAT group. Related, younger patients, as well as patients with AN, were disproportionately represented in the AAT group. It is possible that this difference may be attributed to the greater level of severity or medical complexity typically associated with AN and higher levels of participation of younger patients with AN in intensive treatment programs. Given that an RCT was not feasible in this real-world setting, we used a robust statistical method of IPW to adjust for such baseline between group differences. We explored alternative methodologies such as covariate balancing via propensity score matching, where a treatment subject would be matched to a set of control participants; however, we found that this approach discarded many control participants and thus we ultimately selected a method that would permit use of all available data with the most robust analysis.

While our study provides insight into the potential for digital recovery solutions to be integrated into ED treatment within a real-world health care system, there are limitations to this approach that are worth noting. For example, at the time of the

Palacios et al

study, KPNC used various partners for residential and partial hospitalization programs with outside vendors that could not be extracted for inclusion in this study. In the absence of available data, we were unable to evaluate the potential impact of AAT on admissions or readmissions to these high-acuity and often high-cost programs. Another limitation of this retrospective research design is the absence of a standardized psychometric measure of ED pathology in the TAU group. Without these data for comparison, we were unable to fully explore the potential impact of AAT on all clinical outcomes of interest. Finally, the study was conducted among a stably insured population within an integrated health care delivery system with a focus on preventive care. In this treatment context, both therapists and patients may have been more likely to have adequate resource to participate in app-augmented therapist-guided care. Thus, the findings may not generalize to the overall US population without similar levels of insurance and access to care.

Future work deriving from this study could include a more in-depth exploration of the demographic and clinical characteristics that influence engagement with and response to the app. Researching the differences in the effectiveness of the app-enhanced program among different age groups, gender, and severity of ED could provide insightful information to clinicians as they consider how to further maximize the benefits of incorporating the technology in their clinical practice. Given the behavioral health outcome findings, further investigation into the AAT's potential in addressing comorbid mental health conditions associated with EDs such as depression would be of added value. Furthermore, studying the long-term costs and clinical effectiveness would be necessary to gain insights into the effects of the app on maintaining improvement in health outcomes and preventing relapses and readmissions at a sustained cost reduction via lower health care utilization. Finally, it is important to note that not everyone engages with the app at a similar rate, and understanding usage rates and engagement with the different tools and features of the app can help identify to what degree is engagement itself positively associated with health care utilization and clinical outcomes, and how much usage may be needed to gain the benefits suggested by this study.

Conclusions

This study provides evidence that integrating the use of a remote patient engagement app into the treatment plan for patients with ED has beneficial effects. Particularly, AAT led to significant improvements in BMI for underweight patients and in depressive symptoms when compared with conventional treatment methods. The AAT was also associated with fewer emergency department visits and increased participation in outpatient treatment programs. This suggests that digital interventions could play a key role in managing these health conditions and potentially reducing health care service utilization and associated costs. This study serves as a promising point of reference for future research on the long-term efficacy, cost-effectiveness, and potential impact of leveraging digital health interventions to better treat EDs.

```
XSL•FO
```

RenderX

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Ephrem Chan, Senior Data Consultant at Kaiser Permanente, who contributed to data curation. Ephrem Chan was instrumental in obtaining and organizing the health care utilization data for a key part of the analysis.

Authors' Contributions

KKER, SB, SR, and JT participated in the conceptualization; SB, SA, and JT contributed to the resources; SA and JT contributed to the software; SA contributed to data curation; KKER, JPK, SR, SA, and JT contributed to methodology; JPK contributed to formal analysis; JEP led the project administration; JEP, KKER, JPK, SB, and JT contributed to validation; JEP contributed to writing: original draft; and JEP, KKER, JPK, SB, SA, and JT contributed to writing: reviewing and editing.

Conflicts of Interest

JEP, SA, and JT are paid employees of Recovery Record, Inc, and hold shares in the company. Work contributed by JPK was done as a paid independent consultant and was not part of the individual's duties or responsibilities at Stanford.

References

- Qian J, Wu Y, Liu F, Zhu Y, Jin H, Zhang H, et al. An update on the prevalence of eating disorders in the general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eat Weight Disord. 2022;27(2):415-428. [doi: <u>10.1007/s40519-021-01162-z</u>] [Medline: <u>33834377</u>]
- 2. Treasure J, Hübel C, Himmerich H. The evolving epidemiology and differential etiopathogenesis of eating disorders: implications for prevention and treatment. World Psychiatry. 2022;21(1):147-148. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/wps.20935] [Medline: 35015360]
- Striegel Weissman R, Rosselli F. Reducing the burden of suffering from eating disorders: unmet treatment needs, cost of illness, and the quest for cost-effectiveness. Behav Res Ther. 2017;88:49-64. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.brat.2016.09.006</u>] [Medline: <u>28110676</u>]
- 4. Fassino S, Pierò A, Tomba E, Abbate-Daga G. Factors associated with dropout from treatment for eating disorders: a comprehensive literature review. BMC Psychiatry. Oct 9, 2009;9(1):67. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1471-244x-9-67]
- Cooper Z, Bailey-Straebler S. Disseminating evidence-based psychological treatments for eating disorders. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2015;17(3):551. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11920-015-0551-7] [Medline: 25663154]
- Fernández Aranda F, Treasure J, Paslakis G, Agüera Z, Giménez M, Granero R, et al. The impact of duration of illness on treatment nonresponse and drop - out: exploring the relevance of enduring eating disorder concept. Eur Eating Disord Rev. 2021;29(3):499-513. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/erv.2822]
- Spigel R, Lin JA, Milliren CE, Freizinger M, Vitagliano JA, Woods ER, et al. Access to care and worsening eating disorder symptomatology in youth during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Eat Disord. Jun 10, 2021;9(1):69. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s40337-021-00421-9] [Medline: 34112255]
- Asch DA, Buresh J, Allison KC, Islam N, Sheils NE, Doshi JA, et al. Trends in US patients receiving care for eating disorders and other common behavioral health conditions before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4(11):e2134913. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.34913] [Medline: 34783829]
- Streatfeild J, Hickson J, Austin SB, Hutcheson R, Kandel JS, Lampert JG, et al. Social and economic cost of eating disorders in the United States: evidence to inform policy action. Int J Eat Disord. 2021;54(5):851-868. [doi: <u>10.1002/eat.23486</u>] [Medline: <u>33655603</u>]
- Ágh T, Kovács G, Supina D, Pawaskar M, Herman BK, Vokó Z, et al. A systematic review of the health-related quality of life and economic burdens of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and binge eating disorder. Eat Weight Disord. Sep 2016;21(3):353-364. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s40519-016-0264-x] [Medline: 26942768]
- 11. Tregarthen JP, Lock J, Darcy AM. Development of a smartphone application for eating disorder self-monitoring. Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48(7):972-982. [doi: 10.1002/eat.22386] [Medline: 26213130]
- 12. Linardon J, Shatte A, Rosato J, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M. Efficacy of a transdiagnostic cognitive-behavioral intervention for eating disorder psychopathology delivered through a smartphone app: a randomized controlled trial. Psychol Med. 2022;52(9):1679-1690. [doi: 10.1017/S0033291720003426] [Medline: 32972467]
- 13. Fitzsimmons-Craft EE, Laboe AA, McGinnis C, Firebaugh ML, Shah J, Wallendorf M, et al. A pilot randomized controlled trial of a cognitive-behavioral therapy guided self-help mobile app for the post-acute treatment of anorexia nervosa: a registered report. Int J Eat Disord. 2023;56(3):654-661. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/eat.23891] [Medline: 36609861]
- 14. Fitzsimmons-Craft EE, Taylor CB, Graham AK, Sadeh-Sharvit S, Balantekin KN, Eichen DM, et al. Effectiveness of a digital cognitive behavior therapy-guided self-help intervention for eating disorders in college women: a cluster randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(8):e2015633. [doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.15633] [Medline: 32865576]
- Schlegl S, Bürger C, Schmidt L, Herbst N, Voderholzer U. The potential of technology-based psychological interventions for anorexia and bulimia nervosa: a systematic review and recommendations for future research. J Med Internet Res. 2015;17(3):e85. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.3554] [Medline: 25840591]

```
https://mental.jmir.org/2024/1/e59145
```

RenderX

- Bryant E, Miskovic-Wheatley J, Touyz S, Crosby RD, Koreshe E, Cao L, et al. Transitioning to digital first line intervention—validation of a brief online screener for early identification of a suspected eating disorder: study protocol. J Eat Disord. 2020;8(1):60. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s40337-020-00339-8] [Medline: 33292579]
- 17. Lindgreen P, Lomborg K, Clausen L. Patient experiences using a self-monitoring app in eating disorder treatment: qualitative study. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. 2018;6(6):e10253. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/10253] [Medline: 29934285]
- Merwin RM, Moskovich AA, Babyak M, Feinglos M, Honeycutt LK, Mooney J, et al. An open trial of app-assisted acceptance and commitment therapy (iACT) for eating disorders in type 1 diabetes. J Eat Disord. 2021;9(1):6. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s40337-020-00357-6] [Medline: <u>33407910</u>]
- 19. Le LK, Hay P, Mihalopoulos C. A systematic review of cost-effectiveness studies of prevention and treatment for eating disorders. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2018;52(4):328-338. [doi: 10.1177/0004867417739690] [Medline: 29113456]
- Rohrbach PJ, Dingemans AE, van Furth EF, Spinhoven P, van Ginkel JR, Bauer S, et al. Cost-effectiveness of three internet-based interventions for eating disorders: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Eat Disord. 2022;55(8):1143-1155. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/eat.23763] [Medline: 35748112]
- Gordon N, Lin T. The Kaiser Permanente Northern California adult member health survey. Perm J. 2016;20(4):15-225.
 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.7812/TPP/15-225] [Medline: 27548806]
- 22. Privacy policy. Recovery Record. May 2, 2022. URL: <u>https://www.recoveryrecord.com/landing/privacy_policy</u> [accessed 2024-11-07]
- Crone C, Fochtmann LJ, Attia E, Boland R, Escobar J, Fornari V, et al. The American Psychiatric Association practice guideline for the treatment of patients with eating disorders. Am J Psychiatry. 2023;180(2):167-171. [doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.23180001] [Medline: 36722117]
- 24. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. Sep 2001;16(9):606-613. [doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x] [Medline: 11556941]
- 25. Imai K, van Dyk D. Causal inference with general treatment regimes. J Am Sta Assoc. 2004;99(467):854-866. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1198/016214504000001187]
- 26. Latner JD, Wilson GT. Self-monitoring and the assessment of binge eating. Behav Ther. 2002;33(3):465-477. [doi: 10.1016/s0005-7894(02)80039-9]
- 27. Tregarthen J, Paik Kim J, Sadeh-Sharvit S, Neri E, Welch H, Lock J. Comparing a tailored self-help mobile app with a standard self-monitoring app for the treatment of eating disorder symptoms: randomized controlled trial. JMIR Ment Health. Nov 21, 2019;6(11):e14972. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/14972] [Medline: 31750837]
- 28. Monteleone AM, Pellegrino F, Croatto G, Carfagno M, Hilbert A, Treasure J, et al. Treatment of eating disorders: a systematic meta-review of meta-analyses and network meta-analyses. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2022;142:104857. [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104857] [Medline: 36084848]

Abbreviations

AAT: app-augmented treatment AN: anorexia nervosa **ATE:** average treatment effect BED: binge eating disorder **BN:** bulimia nervosa **BP:** blood pressure **CBT:** cognitive behavioral therapy **DTx:** digital therapeutic ED: eating disorder HMO: health maintenance organization ICD-10: International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision **IOP:** Intensive Outpatient Program **IPW:** Inverse probability of treatment weighing KPNC: Kaiser Permanente Northern California PHQ-9: 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire **RCT:** randomized controlled trial **RR:** Recovery Record TAU: treatment as usual **TE:** treatment effect



Edited by J Torous; submitted 03.04.24; peer-reviewed by G Sharp, J Franklin; comments to author 23.05.24; revised version received 23.07.24; accepted 26.09.24; published 27.11.24 <u>Please cite as:</u> Palacios JE, Erickson-Ridout KK, Paik Kim J, Buttlaire S, Ridout S, Argue S, Tregarthen J Effects of a Digital Therapeutic Adjunct to Eating Disorder Treatment on Health Care Service Utilization and Clinical Outcomes: Retrospective Observational Study Using Electronic Health Records JMIR Ment Health 2024;11:e59145 URL: https://mental.jmir.org/2024/1/e59145 doi: 10.2196/59145 PMID:

©Jorge E Palacios, Kathryn K Erickson-Ridout, Jane Paik Kim, Stuart Buttlaire, Samuel Ridout, Stuart Argue, Jenna Tregarthen. Originally published in JMIR Mental Health (https://mental.jmir.org), 27.11.2024. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Mental Health, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://mental.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

