

Original Paper

Depressive Symptoms and Anxiety During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Large, Longitudinal, Cross-sectional Survey

James J MacDonald¹, MA; Ryan Baxter-King², BA; Lynn Vavreck^{2,3}, PhD; Arash Naeim⁴, MD, PhD; Neil Wenger⁵, MD, MPH; Karen Sepucha⁶, PhD; Annette L Stanton^{1,7}, PhD

¹Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

²Department of Political Science, College of Letters and Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

³Department of Communication, College of Letters and Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

⁴Center for SMART Health, Clinical and Translational Science Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

⁵Division of General Internal Medicine and Health Sciences Research, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

⁶Health Decision Sciences Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States

⁷Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

Corresponding Author:

James J MacDonald, MA

Department of Psychology

University of California, Los Angeles

1285 Franz Hall

Los Angeles, CA, 90025-1563

United States

Phone: 1 7742701642

Email: jamesjmacdonald7@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has influenced the mental health of millions across the globe. Understanding factors associated with depressive symptoms and anxiety across 12 months of the pandemic can help identify groups at higher risk and psychological processes that can be targeted to mitigate the long-term mental health impact of the pandemic.

Objective: This study aims to determine sociodemographic features, COVID-19-specific factors, and general psychological variables associated with depressive symptoms and anxiety over 12 months of the pandemic.

Methods: Nationwide, cross-sectional electronic surveys were implemented in May (n=14,636), July (n=14,936), October (n=14,946), and December (n=15,265) 2020 and March/April 2021 (n=14,557) in the United States. Survey results were weighted to be representative of the US population. The samples were drawn from a market research platform, with a 69% cooperation rate. Surveys assessed depressive symptoms in the past 2 weeks and anxiety in the past week, as well as sociodemographic features; COVID-19 restriction stress, worry, perceived risk, coping strategies, and exposure; intolerance of uncertainty; and loneliness.

Results: Across 12 months, an average of 24% of respondents reported moderate-to-severe depressive symptoms and 32% reported moderate-to-severe anxiety. Of the sociodemographic variables, age was most consistently associated with depressive symptoms and anxiety, with younger adults more likely to report higher levels of those outcomes. Intolerance of uncertainty and loneliness were consistently and strongly associated with the outcomes. Of the COVID-19-specific variables, stress from COVID-19 restrictions, worry about COVID-19, coping behaviors, and having COVID-19 were associated with a higher likelihood of depressive symptoms and anxiety.

Conclusions: Depressive symptoms and anxiety were high in younger adults, adults who reported restriction stress or worry about COVID-19 or who had had COVID-19, and those with intolerance of uncertainty and loneliness. Symptom monitoring as well as early and accessible intervention are recommended.

(*JMIR Ment Health* 2022;9(2):e33585) doi: [10.2196/33585](https://doi.org/10.2196/33585)

KEYWORDS

COVID-19; depression; anxiety; pandemic; mental health; public health; psychological variables; younger adults; symptom monitoring; health intervention

Introduction

As a prolonged, multidimensional stressor, COVID-19 has affected global mental health [1,2]. Sociodemographic and psychological correlates of elevated anxiety and depressive symptoms in early 2020 after pandemic onset are well documented [3]; a younger age, female gender, lower income/unemployment, uncertainty intolerance, and loneliness are associated with worse mental health during the pandemic. These findings primarily are from cross-sectional or short-term longitudinal studies (eg, 4-8 weeks) early in the pandemic. Less is known about contributors to mental health across the pandemic and as it wanes in the United States. Accordingly, this study was designed to examine hypothesized contributors to depressive symptoms and anxiety from 5 waves of data collected over 12 months.

The nature of the expected associations of sociodemographic, psychological, and COVID-19-specific variables with mental health outcomes were hypothesized to change from earlier to later phases of the pandemic. We focused on findings that are robust and consistent and are most pertinent to how the population will emerge from the pandemic.

Methods

Data Collection

Data were obtained from 5 national online surveys from May 2020 to April 2021 involving a total of 74,340 adults in the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA) COVID Health and Politics Project, after institutional review board approval (IRB #20-000786). The samples were provided by Lucid, a market research platform. Prior to survey completion, respondents were informed of the following: the name and contact information of the principal investigator, that completion of the survey was voluntary, that the survey would take approximately 15 minutes, that no personally identifiable information would be asked within the survey, that any identifying information in connection with the study would

remain confidential, and that the study was being performed to understand the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on daily life. Project staff set quotas for sample acquisition and generated weights to produce representative samples of the adult US population. The response rate was approximately 69% on average across waves. Additional details regarding sampling and survey methods are available. [4].

Outcome Variables

Depressive symptoms were assessed using the Patient Health Questionnaire-8 (PHQ-8) [5], which contains 8 of the 9 *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition* (DSM-5), major depressive disorder (MDD) symptom criteria. Scores ranged from 0 to 24. Based on recommended cut-offs [5], severity categories were no significant symptoms (0-4), mild symptoms (5-9), and moderate-to-severe symptoms (10).

The 4-item Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System (PROMIS) short form [6] assessed anxiety. Total scores ranged from 4 to 20. Following PROMIS scoring guidelines and established severity cut-offs, raw scores were converted to T scores, and established cut-off points yielded 3 categories: normal, mild, and moderate-to-severe anxiety.

Independent Variables

Table 1 displays categorical sociodemographic, COVID-19-related, and psychological variables. All independent variables were coded as categorical variables for inclusion in logistic regressions. Respondents were asked to indicate their age, gender (male or female), race/ethnicity, education level, household income, living status, presence of children in the home, employment status in the past 2 months prior to assessment, political identification, and health status (eg, presence or absence of a “significant medical problem or ailment,” including heart disease, cancer, or diabetes). Respondents’ geographical region and urban/rural living status were determined using the respondents’ zip code. Levels of categorical sociodemographic variables and referent categories are displayed in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Characteristics of survey respondents.

Variable level	Weighted percentage, %					
	Wave 1 (N=14,636)	Wave 2 (N=14,936)	Wave 3 (N=14,946)	Wave 4 (N=15,265)	Wave 5 (N=14,557)	Overall (N=74,340)
Age (years), n (%)						
18-29	2975 (20.3)	3036 (20.3)	3046 (20.4)	3100 (20.3)	2958 (20.3)	15,115 (20.3)
30-44	3703 (25.3)	3798 (25.4)	3911 (26.2)	3866 (25.3)	3922 (26.9)	19,119 (25.8)
45-64	4953 (33.8)	5106 (34.2)	5054 (33.8)	5114 (33.5)	4925 (33.8)	25,151 (33.8)
65+ ^a	3006 (20.5)	2996 (20.1)	2936 (19.6)	3185 (20.9)	2752 (18.9)	14,875 (20.0)
Gender, n (%)						
Male	7067 (48.3)	7211 (48.3)	7225 (48.3)	7367 (48.3)	7028 (48.3)	35,898 (48.3)
Female ^a	7569 (51.7)	7725 (51.7)	7721 (51.7)	7898 (51.7)	7529 (51.7)	38,443 (51.7)
Race/ethnicity, n (%)						
White ^a	9266 (63.3)	9456 (63.3)	9512 (63.6)	9666 (63.3)	9222 (63.3)	47,122 (63.4)
Black	1641 (11.2)	1670 (11.2)	1638 (11.0)	1736 (11.4)	1633 (11.2)	8318 (11.2)
Asian	8318 (6.9)	1029 (6.9)	1032 (6.9)	1051 (6.9)	1003 (6.9)	5123 (6.9)
Hispanic	2264 (15.5)	2325 (15.6)	2292 (15.3)	2338 (15.3)	2256 (15.5)	11,475 (15.4)
Other	457 (3.1)	456 (3.1)	472 (3.2)	475 (3.1)	444 (3.0)	2304 (3.1)
Education, n (%)						
High school or less ^a	4771 (32.6)	4861 (32.5)	4610 (30.8)	5011 (32.8)	4825 (33.1)	24,086 (32.4)
Some college	5350 (36.6)	5467 (36.6)	5711 (38.2)	5541 (36.3)	5239 (36.0)	27,283 (36.7)
College and above	4515 (30.8)	4608 (30.8)	4626 (30.9)	4713 (30.9)	4493 (30.9)	22,971 (30.9)
Health status, n (%)						
Generally healthy ^a	8260 (56.4)	8347 (55.9)	8078 (54.0)	8196 (53.7)	7446 (51.1)	40,326 (54.2)
Significant diagnosis	6376 (43.6)	6589 (44.1)	6868 (46.0)	7070 (46.3)	7111 (48.9)	34,014 (45.8)
Household income^b, n (%)						
34,999 or less	2968 (20.3)	3029 (20.3)	3018 (20.2)	3095 (20.3)	2950 (20.3)	15,060 (20.3)
35,000-79,999	5228 (35.7)	5336 (35.7)	5339 (35.7)	5462 (35.7)	5200 (35.7)	26,565 (35.7)
80,000 or more	6440 (44.0)	6571 (44.0)	6589 (44.1)	6708 (44.0)	6407 (44.0)	32,715 (44.0)
Lives alone, n (%)						
Yes	2254 (15.4)	2305 (15.4)	2305 (15.4)	2440 (16.0)	2544 (17.5)	11,850 (15.9)
No ^a	12,347 (84.4)	12,596 (84.3)	12,597 (84.3)	12,720 (83.3)	11,955 (82.1)	62,215 (83.7)
Missing ^c	34 (0.2)	35 (0.2)	44 (0.3)	105 (0.7)	58 (0.4)	276 (0.4)
Children living at home, n (%)						
Yes	5552 (37.9)	5787 (38.7)	5863 (39.2)	5948 (39.0)	5297 (36.4)	28,447 (38.3)
No ^a	9013 (61.6)	9088 (60.8)	9012 (60.3)	9187 (60.2)	9189 (63.1)	45,488 (61.2)
Missing	71 (0.5)	61 (0.4)	72 (0.5)	130 (0.9)	72 (0.5)	376 (0.5)
Employment in the past 2 months^d, n (%)						
Working in person	3175 (21.7)	4012 (26.9)	4414 (29.5)	N/A ^e	N/A	N/A
Working remotely	3239 (22.1)	2937 (19.7)	2699 (18.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not working due to COVID	1974 (13.5)	1555 (10.4)	1364 (9.1)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not working for other reason	244 (1.7)	464 (3.1)	489 (3.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A

Variable level	Weighted percentage, %					
	Wave 1 (N=14,636)	Wave 2 (N=14,936)	Wave 3 (N=14,946)	Wave 4 (N=15,265)	Wave 5 (N=14,557)	Overall (N=74,340)
Not working prior to COVID ^a	5982 (40.9)	5949 (39.8)	5941 (39.8)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Missing	21 (0.1)	18 (0.1)	39 (0.3)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Political identification, n (%)						
Democrat	6575 (44.9)	6685 (44.8)	6548 (43.8)	7199 (47.2)	6218 (42.7)	33,225 (44.7)
Republican ^a	5374 (36.7)	5416 (36.3)	5785 (38.7)	5375 (35.2)	5515 (37.9)	27,465 (36.9)
Independent	2676 (18.3)	2818 (18.9)	2596 (17.4)	2655 (17.4)	2795 (19.2)	13,540 (18.2)
Missing	12 (0.1)	17 (0.1)	17 (0.1)	37 (0.2)	29 (0.2)	111 (0.1)
Region, n (%)						
Northeast	2653 (18.1)	2605 (17.4)	2607 (17.4)	2663 (17.4)	2539 (17.4)	13,067 (17.6)
Midwest	3075 (21.0)	3107 (20.8)	3109 (20.8)	3179 (20.8)	3028 (20.8)	15,499 (20.8)
South	5695 (38.9)	5664 (37.9)	5668 (37.9)	5786 (37.9)	5521 (37.9)	28,334 (38.1)
West ^a	3213 (22.0)	3560 (23.8)	3561 (23.8)	3637 (23.8)	3469 (23.8)	17,441 (23.5)
Urban-rural, n (%)						
Rural	3530 (24.1)	3602 (24.1)	3614 (24.2)	3677 (24.1)	3512 (24.1)	17,936 (24.1)
Suburban	3554 (24.3)	3627 (24.3)	3710 (24.3)	3709 (24.3)	3535 (24.3)	18,054 (24.3)
Urban-suburban	6183 (42.2)	6309 (42.2)	6311 (42.2)	6450 (42.2)	6149 (42.2)	31,401 (42.2)
Urban ^a	1369 (9.4)	1397 (9.4)	1393 (9.3)	1428 (9.4)	1361 (9.3)	6949 (9.4)
COVID-19 infection in the past 2 months, n (%)						
Believes no exposure ^a	13,348 (91.2)	13,403 (89.7)	13,409 (89.7)	12,989 (85.1)	12,039 (82.7)	65,188 (87.7)
Tested positive for COVID-19	209 (1.4)	447 (3.0)	553 (3.7)	794 (5.2)	1211 (8.3)	3213 (4.3)
Believes had COVID-19	802 (5.5)	730 (4.9)	687 (4.6)	960 (6.3)	651 (4.5)	3831 (5.2)
Believes household had COVID-19 (but not self)	250 (1.7)	323 (2.2)	271 (1.8)	462 (3.0)	620 (4.3)	1926 (2.6)
Missing	27 (0.2)	32 (0.2)	27 (0.2)	60 (0.4)	36 (0.2)	182 (0.2)
COVID-19 restriction stress in the past 2 weeks, n (%)						
Not at all ^a	4145 (28.3)	4666 (31.2)	5402 (36.1)	4904 (32.1)	6231 (42.8)	25,348 (34.1)
Slightly	5389 (36.8)	5386 (36.1)	5085 (34.0)	5126 (33.6)	4227 (29.0)	25,213 (33.9)
Moderately	2992 (20.4)	2890 (19.3)	2724 (18.2)	3022 (19.8)	2414 (16.6)	14,041 (18.9)
Very	1354 (9.3)	1227 (8.2)	988 (6.6)	1319 (8.6)	909 (6.2)	5797 (7.8)
Extremely	718 (4.9)	735 (4.9)	734 (4.9)	843 (5.5)	712 (4.9)	3742 (5.0)
Missing	38 (0.3)	33 (0.2)	13 (0.1)	50 (0.3)	65 (0.4)	199 (0.3)
COVID-19 worry in the past month, n (%)						
Not worried ^a	3982 (27.2)	3856 (25.8)	4234 (28.3)	3965 (26.0)	5586 (38.4)	21,623 (29.1)
Mild	5912 (40.4)	6057 (40.6)	6118 (40.9)	6188 (40.5)	5036 (34.6)	29,311 (39.4)
Moderate-severe	3843 (26.3)	4098 (27.4)	3708 (24.8)	4220 (27.6)	3204 (22.0)	19,073 (25.7)
Missing	899 (6.1)	925 (6.2)	887 (5.9)	892 (5.8)	731 (5.0)	4333 (5.8)
COVID-19 risk in the next 30 days, n (%)						
Very low ^a	4736 (32.4)	4680 (31.3)	4915 (32.9)	5496 (36.0)	6352 (43.6)	26,180 (35.2)
Moderately low	4114 (28.1)	4193 (28.1)	4078 (27.3)	3804 (24.9)	3595 (24.7)	19,785 (26.6)
Neither high nor low	4081 (27.9)	4241 (28.4)	4178 (28.0)	4150 (27.2)	3212 (22.1)	19,862 (26.7)

Variable level	Weighted percentage, %					
	Wave 1 (N=14,636)	Wave 2 (N=14,936)	Wave 3 (N=14,946)	Wave 4 (N=15,265)	Wave 5 (N=14,557)	Overall (N=74,340)
Moderately or very high	1686 (11.5)	1797 (12.0)	1761 (11.8)	1796 (11.8)	1375 (9.4)	8414 (11.3)
Missing	19 (0.1)	25 (0.2)	14 (0.1)	19 (0.1)	22 (0.2)	100 (0.1)
COVID-19 deaths per 1000 in the past 14 days (terciles)^f, n (%)						
Low density of deaths	4862 (33.2)	4942 (33.1)	4959 (33.2)	5014 (32.8)	4851 (33.3)	24,628 (33.1)
Medium density of deaths	4827 (33.0)	5011 (33.5)	4990 (33.4)	5176 (33.9)	4860 (33.4)	24,864 (33.5)
High density of deaths	4947 (33.8)	4983 (33.4)	4997 (33.4)	5075 (33.2)	4846 (33.3)	24,848 (33.4)
Known COVID-19 deaths^g, n (%)						
0 ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8046 (55.3)	N/A
1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2277 (15.6)	N/A
≥2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4141 (28.4)	N/A
Missing	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	93 (0.6)	N/A
Vaccination status^g, n (%)						
Fully vaccinated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4745 (32.6)	N/A
Partially vaccinated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2355 (16.2)	N/A
Not vaccinated ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	7457 (51.2)	N/A
Loneliness, n (%)						
No loneliness ^a	9211 (62.9)	9204 (61.6)	9162 (61.3)	9045 (59.2)	8909 (61.2)	45,531 (61.3)
Any loneliness	5271 (36.0)	5577 (37.3)	5611 (37.5)	6024 (39.5)	5281 (36.3)	27,764 (37.3)
Missing	153 (1.0)	155 (1.0)	173 (1.2)	197 (1.3)	367 (2.5)	1045 (1.4)
Uncertainty tolerance, n (%)						
High tolerance ^a	5957 (40.7)	6089 (40.8)	6064 (40.6)	6042 (39.6)	6024 (41.4)	30,175 (40.6)
Medium tolerance	5230 (35.7)	5226 (35.0)	5262 (35.2)	5532 (36.2)	4987 (34.3)	26,238 (35.3)
Low tolerance	3112 (21.3)	3351 (22.4)	3281 (21.9)	3451 (22.6)	3308 (22.7)	16,503 (22.2)
Missing	337 (2.3)	270 (1.8)	339 (2.3)	241 (1.6)	238 (1.6)	1424 (1.9)
Avoidance coping (past 2 weeks), n (%)						
No avoidance ^a	7917 (54.1)	8475 (56.7)	8795 (58.8)	8663 (56.8)	9103 (62.5)	42,954 (57.8)
Any avoidance	6719 (45.9)	6461 (43.3)	6151 (41.2)	6602 (43.2)	5454 (37.5)	31,387 (42.2)
Approach coping (past 2 weeks), n (%)						
Low approach	5177 (35.4)	5476 (36.7)	6134 (41.0)	6022 (39.4)	7205 (49.5)	30,015 (40.4)
Moderate approach	4116 (28.1)	4222 (28.3)	4175 (27.9)	4464 (29.2)	3804 (26.1)	20,781 (28.0)
High approach ^a	5343 (36.5)	5238 (35.1)	4637 (31.0)	5780 (31.3)	3547 (24.4)	23,545 (31.7)
Anxiety (past 7 days), n (%)						
No anxiety ^a	6371 (43.5)	6384 (42.7)	6674 (44.7)	6418 (42.0)	7274 (50.0)	33,122 (44.6)
Mild	3540 (24.2)	3569 (23.9)	3478 (23.3)	3500 (22.9)	2864 (19.7)	16,951 (22.8)
Moderate to severe	4616 (31.5)	4905 (32.8)	4661 (31.2)	5233 (34.3)	4309 (29.6)	23,723 (31.9)
Missing	109 (0.7)	78 (0.5)	133 (0.9)	114 (0.7)	110 (0.8)	544 (0.7)
Depression (past 2 weeks), n (%)						
No depression ^a	7751 (53.0)	7712 (51.6)	7688 (51.4)	7702 (50.5)	7560 (51.9)	38,413 (51.7)
Mild	3493 (23.9)	3578 (24.0)	3301 (22.1)	3372 (22.1)	3044 (20.9)	16,789 (22.6)

Variable level	Weighted percentage, %					
	Wave 1 (N=14,636)	Wave 2 (N=14,936)	Wave 3 (N=14,946)	Wave 4 (N=15,265)	Wave 5 (N=14,557)	Overall (N=74,340)
Moderate to severe	3186 (21.8)	3407 (22.8)	3705 (24.8)	3936 (25.8)	3684 (25.3)	17,918 (24.1)
Missing	205 (1.4)	239 (1.6)	253 (1.7)	255 (1.7)	269 (1.8)	1221 (1.6)

^aReferent category for regressions presented in [Table 2](#).

^bIncome was included in the regression in terciles, with the first tercile as the reference group.

^cCases with missing responses were excluded from the regression models in [Table 2](#).

^dCurrent employment status was not assessed at waves 4 and 5.

^eN/A: not applicable.

^fCOVID-19 deaths by respondent county were included in the regressions in terciles, with the first tercile as the reference group.

^gKnown COVID-19 deaths and vaccination status were assessed at wave 5 only.

Variables specific to COVID-19 were also collected. Respondents were asked to indicate their level of exposure to COVID-19 in the past 2 months (“tested positive for COVID-19,” “believes had COVID-19 but did not test positive,” “believes someone in their household had COVID-19,” or “does not believe had COVID-19”), stress related to COVID-19 “shelter-in-place” orders, worry about contracting COVID-19, perceived risk of contracting COVID-19 in the next 30 days, and COVID-19-specific coping behaviors (eg, approach coping [broken into low, medium, and high terciles of approach behaviors] and avoidance coping [broken into “any avoidance behaviors” and “no avoidance behaviors”]). COVID-19-related coping was assessed using yes/no items based on commonly used measures of coping [7,8]. Exposure to COVID-19-related deaths was calculated using the respondents’ zip code in combination with data from the *New York Times* reporting deaths per 1000 residents to determine low, medium, and high death rates by tercile at each wave. Thus, the level of exposure to COVID-19 deaths was relative to a nationally representative US sample by wave. At wave 5 only, “known COVID-19 deaths” was assessed by asking respondents to indicate how many individuals they personally knew who had died from COVID-19. Levels of categorical COVID-19-related variables and referent categories are displayed in [Table 1](#).

General psychological variables were collected at each wave. Loneliness was assessed with a 3-item scale adapted from the UCLA Loneliness Scale Revised [9], which asked how often respondents feel “lack of companionship,” “left out,” and “isolated from others.” Response options included “hardly ever,” “some of the time,” and “often.” Raw scores ranged from 3 to 9, with scores of 3-5 categorized as “not lonely” and scores of 6-9 categorized as “lonely.” Uncertainty tolerance was assessed with 3 items from the Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale [10],

summed and categorized by tercile (low, medium, and high tolerance of uncertainty).

Analysis

The data included 14,636 interviews conducted on May 11-24, 2020; 14,936 on July 9-22, 2020; 14,946 on October 1-17, 2020; 15,265 on December 4-16, 2020; and 14,557 on March 25-April 13, 2021. Missingness varied by wave in the logistic regressions. Weighted proportions ([Table 1](#)) were calculated using R statistical software version 3.6.1. Weighted ordinal logistic regression in SPSS version 27.0 was used to calculate the odds ratios (ORs) for anxiety and depression independently at each wave. Separate wave-by-wave regressions were conducted to test differences in independent variable associations with outcomes across approximately 1 year of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

On average, from May 2020 to April 2021, 17,918 of 73,120 (24.1%; n=1221 [1.6%] missing) adults reported moderate-to-severe depression, which increased from waves 1 and 2 (3186-3407 [21.8%-22.8%]) to waves 3 to 5 (3705-3684 [24.8%-25.3%]). On average, 23,723 of 73,796 (31.9%; n=544 [0.7%] missing) reported moderate-to-severe anxiety, with some evidence of decline at wave 5 (waves 1-4=4616-5233 [31.5%-34.3%] vs wave 5=4309 [25.3%]). Descriptive statistics are displayed in [Table 1](#).

Logistic Regressions

[Table 2](#) displays ORs and 95% CIs from logistic regressions on depression and anxiety at each wave.

Table 2. Depression and anxiety full regression models^a by wave.

Variable	Depression ^b					Anxiety ^b				
	Wave 1 OR ^c (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)	Wave 1 OR (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)
Age 18-29 years	3.57 (3.06-4.18)	3.62 (3.10-4.23)	4.36 (3.70-5.15)	4.39 (3.76-5.11)	4.78 (4.01-5.69)	2.12 (1.83-2.46)	1.72 (1.49-1.99)	2.06 (1.76-2.40)	2.66 (2.30-3.06)	2.78 (2.35-3.28)
Age 30-44 years	2.13 (1.82-2.48)	2.57 (2.21-3.0)	2.63 (2.24-3.10)	3.01 (2.59-3.50)	2.55 (2.14-3.03)	1.98 (1.71-2.29)	1.45 (1.26-1.67)	2.10 (1.81-2.43)	2.13 (1.85-2.45)	2.05 (1.74-2.41)
Age 45-64 years	1.49 (1.30-1.70)	1.64 (1.44-1.88)	1.80 (1.55-2.08)	1.78 (1.56-2.02)	2.00 (1.71-2.33)	1.42 (1.25-1.61)	1.36 (1.20-1.53)	1.61 (1.41-1.83)	1.64 (1.46-1.84)	1.56 (1.35-1.80)
Male	1.03 (0.94-1.12)	0.91 (0.84-0.99)	0.90 (0.82-0.98)	1.0 (0.92-1.09)	0.87 (0.79-.95)	0.85 (0.78-.92)	0.75 (0.70-.82)	0.79 (0.72-.85)	0.86 (0.79-.93)	0.78 (0.71-.85)
Black	0.81 (0.70-0.93)	0.89 (0.77-1.02)	0.70 (0.60-0.81)	1.09 (0.95-1.25)	0.87 (0.75-1.01)	0.88 (0.78-1.01)	0.79 (0.69-0.91)	0.72 (0.62-0.82)	0.89 (0.78-1.02)	0.72 (0.62-0.84)
Asian/Pacific Islander	0.59 (0.50-0.70)	0.79 (0.67-0.94)	0.97 (0.83-1.15)	0.86 (0.73-1.02)	0.84 (0.71-1.0)	0.95 (0.82-1.11)	1.33 (1.14-1.56)	1.40 (1.20-1.63)	0.91 (0.78-1.06)	1.18 (1.0-1.39)
Hispanic	0.97 (0.86-1.10)	0.82 (0.72-0.93)	0.97 (0.86-1.10)	1.07 (0.95-1.21)	1.34 (1.18-1.52)	1.01 (0.90-1.14)	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	0.95 (0.84-1.07)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.90 (0.80-1.02)
Other	1.44 (1.13-1.84)	1.25 (0.99-1.58)	1.25 (0.98-1.59)	1.56 (1.24-1.97)	1.31 (1.02-1.68)	1.07 (0.84-1.37)	0.96 (0.77-1.21)	1.08 (0.86-1.37)	1.25 (0.99-1.57)	1.14 (0.89-1.46)
Some college	0.98 (0.88-1.08)	0.80 (0.72-0.89)	1.09 (0.99-1.21)	0.85 (0.77-0.94)	0.82 (0.74-0.91)	0.93 (0.84-1.03)	1.00 (0.91-1.11)	1.01 (0.92-1.12)	0.95 (0.86-1.04)	0.81 (0.73-0.90)
College and above	0.84 (0.75-0.95)	0.80 (0.71-0.91)	0.89 (0.78-1.01)	0.77 (0.69-0.87)	0.71 (0.63-0.80)	0.95 (0.84-1.07)	1.06 (0.95-1.19)	0.90 (0.79-1.01)	0.86 (0.77-0.96)	0.88 (0.78-0.99)
Significant diagnosis	1.53 (1.40-1.68)	1.38 (1.26-1.51)	1.57 (1.43-1.72)	1.49 (1.36-1.63)	1.65 (1.50-1.81)	1.33 (1.21-1.45)	1.21 (1.11-1.31)	1.19 (1.09-1.30)	1.08 (0.99-1.17)	1.26 (1.15-1.38)
2 nd tercile income	0.84 (0.76-0.94)	0.97 (0.87-1.08)	0.77 (0.69-0.86)	0.95 (0.86-1.06)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)	0.82 (0.73-0.91)	0.89 (0.80-0.99)	0.91 (0.82-1.01)	0.97 (0.88-1.08)	0.82 (0.74-0.91)
3 rd tercile income	0.78 (0.69-0.88)	0.88 (0.78-1.00)	0.70 (0.62-0.80)	0.80 (0.71-0.90)	0.74 (0.65-0.84)	0.72 (0.64-0.81)	0.74 (0.66-0.83)	0.74 (0.65-0.83)	0.81 (0.73-0.91)	0.74 (0.65-0.84)
Going into the workplace	1.10 (0.98-1.23)	0.89 (0.79-0.99)	0.70 (0.62-0.78)	N/A ^d	N/A	0.86 (0.76-0.96)	1.12 (1.01-1.24)	0.92 (0.83-1.03)	N/A	N/A
Remote work	1.15 (1.02-1.30)	0.87 (0.77-0.99)	0.90 (0.80-1.03)	N/A	N/A	1.04 (0.93-1.17)	1.26 (1.12-1.41)	1.09 (0.96-1.23)	N/A	N/A
Not working (COVID-19)	1.17 (1.03-1.34)	1.26 (1.10-1.46)	1.17 (1.01-1.37)	N/A	N/A	1.16 (1.02-1.32)	1.28 (1.11-1.46)	1.03 (0.88-1.19)	N/A	N/A
Not working (other reason)	1.16 (1.03-1.60)	1.36 (1.07-1.72)	1.24 (0.98-1.58)	N/A	N/A	1.13 (0.83-1.54)	0.96 (0.76-1.21)	0.97 (0.77-1.22)	N/A	N/A
Lives alone	1.09 (0.96-1.23)	0.99 (0.87-1.12)	0.99 (0.88-1.12)	1.08 (0.96-1.22)	1.23 (1.10-1.39)	0.82 (0.72-0.92)	0.78 (0.70-0.88)	1.06 (0.94-1.19)	0.86 (0.77-0.97)	0.85 (0.76-0.96)
Living with children	1.05 (0.95-1.15)	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	1.28 (1.16-1.41)	0.94 (0.86-1.03)	1.14 (1.03-1.27)	1.06 (0.97-1.16)	1.23 (1.12-1.34)	1.16 (1.06-1.27)	0.91 (0.83-0.99)	0.94 (0.85-1.04)
Democrat	1.10 (1.0-1.21)	0.93 (0.84-1.02)	1.04 (0.94-1.15)	1.16 (1.05-1.27)	1.14 (1.03-1.27)	1.31 (1.19-1.43)	1.17 (1.07-1.28)	1.25 (1.14-1.38)	1.21 (1.11-1.33)	1.07 (0.97-1.18)
Independent	1.16 (1.03-1.31)	1.08 (0.96-1.22)	1.06 (0.93-1.20)	1.01 (0.90-1.15)	1.21 (1.07-1.37)	1.08 (0.96-1.22)	1.17 (1.04-1.31)	1.11 (0.99-1.25)	1.24 (1.10-1.39)	1.05 (0.93-1.18)
Rural	1.17 (0.99-1.39)	0.81 (0.68-0.96)	1.38 (1.16-1.64)	1.28 (1.08-1.50)	0.95 (0.79-1.14)	0.99 (0.83-1.17)	0.83 (0.71-0.98)	1.20 (1.02-1.42)	1.0 (0.85-1.17)	0.88 (0.74-1.04)
Suburban	1.18 (1.0-1.38)	0.82 (0.69-0.96)	1.28 (1.09-1.51)	1.27 (1.08-1.50)	0.92 (0.77-1.09)	1.01 (0.87-1.19)	1.07 (0.92-1.25)	1.22 (1.04-1.42)	1.21 (1.21-1.03)	0.94 (0.80-1.11)

Variable	Depression ^b					Anxiety ^b				
	Wave 1 OR ^c (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)	Wave 1 OR (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)
Urban-suburban	1.23 (1.05-1.43)	0.85 (0.73-0.99)	1.17 (1.0-1.37)	1.13 (0.97-1.32)	1.02 (0.87-1.20)	1.01 (0.87-1.17)	0.95 (0.82-1.09)	1.19 (1.03-1.38)	1.14 (0.98-1.32)	1.06 (0.90-1.23)
Northeast	0.93 (0.80-1.08)	0.93 (0.81-1.06)	0.97 (0.84-1.11)	0.91 (0.80-1.03)	0.83 (0.73-0.96)	1.04 (0.90-1.20)	1.09 (0.97-1.24)	1.23 (1.08-1.40)	0.91 (0.81-1.03)	0.78 (0.68-0.89)
Midwest	0.86 (0.75-0.99)	0.93 (0.82-1.06)	1.11 (0.97-1.26)	1.00 (0.88-1.15)	1.08 (0.95-1.24)	0.82 (0.72-0.93)	1.12 (0.99-1.27)	1.04 (0.92-1.18)	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	0.72 (0.63-0.82)
South	1.03 (0.92-1.15)	0.88 (0.79-0.98)	0.99 (0.89-1.11)	1.11 (0.99-1.24)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)	0.94 (0.84-1.05)	1.18 (1.06-1.31)	1.24 (1.11-1.38)	1.0 (0.90-1.11)	0.95 (0.84-1.06)
Tested positive for COVID-19	2.50 (1.75-3.58)	2.75 (2.13-3.55)	3.05 (2.38-3.92)	2.59 (2.12-3.17)	1.76 (1.47-2.10)	1.32 (0.92-1.89)	1.81 (1.40-2.33)	1.84 (1.44-2.35)	1.54 (1.27-1.88)	0.96 (0.81-1.14)
Believes had COVID-19	2.26 (1.89-2.69)	1.79 (1.48-2.15)	2.38 (1.93-2.93)	2.07 (1.75-2.45)	1.02 (0.83-1.24)	1.08 (0.91-1.30)	0.86 (0.72-1.04)	2.01 (1.63-2.47)	1.57 (1.32-1.86)	1.12 (0.91-1.37)
Believes household (but not self) had COVID-19	1.08 (0.80-1.46)	1.12 (0.86-1.47)	1.13 (0.85-1.52)	1.69 (1.34-2.13)	0.94 (0.76-1.16)	0.65 (0.48-0.88)	0.81 (0.62-1.06)	0.79 (0.59-1.06)	1.28 (1.02-1.62)	0.92 (0.75-1.13)
COVID-19 restriction stress: slight	1.65 (1.46-1.87)	1.41 (1.26-1.58)	1.88 (1.68-2.11)	1.48 (1.32-1.66)	1.60 (1.43-1.79)	1.95 (1.75-2.18)	1.54 (1.39-1.70)	1.61 (1.46-1.78)	1.87 (1.69-2.07)	1.92 (1.73-2.14)
COVID-19 restriction stress: moderate	2.83 (2.48-3.23)	2.65 (2.33-3.01)	2.84 (2.50-3.24)	2.56 (2.26-2.90)	2.83 (2.48-3.22)	3.77 (3.33-4.28)	2.95 (2.62-3.33)	3.33 (2.94-3.76)	2.78 (2.47-3.13)	3.22 (2.83-3.66)
COVID-19 restriction stress: very	3.98 (3.36-4.70)	3.80 (3.22-4.50)	3.74 (3.11-4.50)	3.90 (3.31-4.61)	3.50 (2.89-4.23)	5.63 (4.74-6.69)	3.42 (2.88-4.05)	3.41 (2.84-4.10)	3.44 (2.91-4.06)	4.29 (3.53-5.21)
COVID-19 restriction stress: extreme	6.24 (4.99-7.80)	5.95 (4.74-7.47)	6.93 (5.45-8.82)	6.75 (5.44-8.39)	8.63 (6.68-11.14)	6.73 (5.30-8.53)	4.33 (3.43-5.48)	4.85 (3.84-6.14)	4.88 (3.92-6.09)	6.62 (5.19-8.45)
COVID-19 worry: mild	1.69 (1.50-1.90)	1.49 (1.33-1.68)	1.61 (1.43-1.81)	1.56 (1.39-1.75)	1.80 (1.61-2.02)	3.37 (3.02-3.76)	3.08 (2.76-3.43)	2.64 (2.37-2.95)	2.98 (2.68-3.33)	2.27 (2.03-2.52)
COVID-19 worry: moderate to severe	2.48 (2.18-2.82)	2.16 (1.89-2.47)	2.96 (2.60-3.39)	2.38 (2.09-2.70)	3.52 (3.09-4.01)	6.23 (5.50-7.05)	6.39 (5.59-7.19)	5.26 (4.63-5.96)	5.11 (4.52-5.77)	3.40 (2.99-3.86)
COVID-19 risk: moderately low	1.0 (0.89-1.12)	0.98 (0.87-1.10)	1.24 (1.10-1.39)	1.40 (1.25-1.57)	0.97 (0.87-1.09)	1.09 (0.98-1.22)	1.05 (0.94-1.18)	1.19 (1.07-1.34)	1.27 (1.14-1.42)	1.18 (1.06-1.32)
COVID-19 risk: neither high nor low	1.02 (0.91-1.14)	1.0 (0.89-1.13)	1.09 (0.97-1.21)	1.19 (1.07-1.34)	0.83 (0.73-0.93)	1.54 (1.38-1.72)	1.37 (1.23-1.53)	1.38 (1.23-1.54)	1.49 (1.34-1.66)	1.33 (1.19-1.49)
COVID-19 risk: moderate or very high	1.15 (0.99-1.33)	1.21 (1.04-1.40)	1.11 (0.95-1.29)	1.44 (1.24-1.66)	0.74 (0.63-0.88)	1.39 (1.20-1.62)	1.27 (1.10-1.46)	1.28 (1.11-1.48)	1.50 (1.30-1.73)	1.35 (1.15-1.59)
Medium death density	1.08 (0.97-1.20)	1.06 (0.95-1.17)	1.09 (0.97-1.21)	1.15 (1.04-1.26)	1.07 (0.96-1.19)	1.08 (0.97-1.22)	1.0 (0.94-1.14)	0.98 (0.88-1.08)	1.09 (0.99-1.20)	1.0 (0.90-1.11)
High death density	0.97 (0.86-1.09)	1.0 (0.90-1.11)	0.85 (0.76-0.96)	0.88* (0.79-0.98)	0.86 (0.77-0.96)	1.05 (0.93-1.17)	1.11 (1.0-1.23)	0.80 (0.72-0.89)	1.05 (0.95-1.17)	0.88 (0.79-0.98)

Variable	Depression ^b					Anxiety ^b				
	Wave 1 OR ^c (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)	Wave 1 OR (95% CI)	Wave 2 OR (95% CI)	Wave 3 OR (95% CI)	Wave 4 OR (95% CI)	Wave 5 OR (95% CI)
1 death	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.08 (0.95- 1.22)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.08 (0.96- 1.22)
≥2 deaths	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>1.27 (1.14- 1.42)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<i>1.38 (1.24- 1.54)</i>
Fully vaccinated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.17 (1.05- 1.30)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.84 (0.76- 0.93)
Partially vaccinated	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.08 (0.95- 1.23)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.79 (0.70- 0.89)
Any loneliness	3.35 (3.07- 3.66)	2.98 (2.73- 3.26)	3.36 (3.07- 3.67)	2.80 (2.57- 3.06)	3.60 (3.28- 3.95)	2.00 (1.83- 2.18)	2.26 (2.07- 2.47)	2.11 (1.93- 2.31)	2.26 (2.07- 2.46)	2.82 (2.57- 3.09)
Low tolerance of uncertainty	7.36 (6.49- 8.34)	8.51 (7.51- 9.64)	6.84 (6.02- 7.77)	7.08 (6.26- 7.99)	6.09 (5.35- 6.93)	7.23 (6.39- 8.18)	7.93 (7.02- 8.96)	7.94 (7.01- 8.99)	7.84 (6.94- 8.84)	7.40 (6.53- 8.40)
Medium tolerance of uncertainty	3.14 (2.83- 3.49)	3.52 (3.17- 3.91)	2.73 (2.45- 3.04)	2.98 (2.69- 3.30)	2.93 (2.63- 3.28)	3.03 (2.76- 3.33)	3.28 (3.0- 3.60)	2.85 (2.59- 3.14)	2.67 (2.44- 2.93)	3.45 (3.11- 3.83)
Any avoidance	2.31 (2.12- 2.52)	2.53 (2.32- 2.75)	2.47 (2.26- 2.69)	2.27 (2.09- 2.46)	2.54 (2.32- 2.79)	1.43 (1.32- 1.55)	1.30 (1.19- 1.41)	1.39 (1.28- 1.52)	1.46 (1.35- 1.59)	1.45 (1.33- 1.59)
Low approach coping	1.67 (1.51- 1.85)	1.78 (1.61- 1.97)	1.84 (1.66- 2.04)	1.58 (1.43- 1.75)	2.19 (1.96- 2.45)	0.99 (0.90- 1.09)	1.13 (1.03- 1.24)	0.99 (0.89- 1.09)	1.01 (1.35- 1.59)	0.92 (0.82- 1.02)
Medium approach coping	1.15 (1.04- 1.28)	1.19 (1.07- 1.32)	1.24 (1.11- 1.39)	1.45 (1.31- 1.61)	1.65 (1.46- 1.87)	0.92 (0.84- 1.02)	1.09 (0.99- 1.21)	0.94 (0.84- 1.04)	1.02 (0.93- 1.13)	0.93 (0.83- 1.04)

^aEach column presents ORs (95% CIs) from separate regression models (for referent categories, see Table 1). N varied by model.

^bItalicized OR (95% CI) values signify $P<.001$.

^cOR: odds ratio.

^dN/A: not applicable.

Sociodemographic Variables

Of the sociodemographic variables, a younger adult age evidenced the strongest associations with depression and anxiety across waves. The effect of a younger age (ie, age 18-29 years) on depression was nearly double that for anxiety (waves 1-5 depression ORs 3.57-4.78, all $P<.001$, vs waves 1-5 anxiety ORs 2.12-2.78, all $P<.001$). Respondents aged 18-29 years and aged 30-44 years evidenced increasing moderate-to-severe depression rates from wave 1 to wave 5 (age 18-29 years=5459-6871 [37.3%-47.2%]; age 30-44 years=4069-4673 [27.8%-32.1%]), while older age groups had stable or declining rates (age 45-64 years=2386-2576 [16.3%-17.7%]; age ≥ 65 years=1171-815 [8.0%-5.6%]). To explore why younger adults might be more prone to persistent depressive symptoms, post hoc analyses tested interactions of age (continuous) with COVID-19-specific and psychological variables of depression. Tests of interactions did not identify any variable consistently related more strongly to greater depression in younger relative to older adults.

Women reported more anxiety than men (waves 1-5 ORs 1.18-1.28, all $P<.001$). Being in the highest income tercile was associated consistently with lower depression and anxiety

(waves 1-5 depression ORs 0.78-0.74, all $P<.001$; waves 1-5 anxiety ORs 0.72-0.74, all $P<.001$). Medical comorbidity was related to depression and anxiety at most waves, although effects were not large (waves 1-5 depression ORs 1.53-1.65, $P<.001$; waves 1-5 anxiety ORs 1.33-1.26, all $P<.001$). Other sociodemographic variables were not associated consistently with outcomes.

COVID-19-Specific Variables

Of the COVID-19-specific variables, perceived stress from COVID-19 restrictions evidenced the strongest, graded relationships with depression (waves 1-5 “slightly stressful” to “extremely stressful” ORs from 1.65-1.48 to 6.24-8.63, all $P<.001$) and anxiety (waves 1-5 “slightly stressful” to “extremely stressful” ORs from 1.95-1.92 to 6.73-6.62, all $P<.001$) across waves. COVID-19-related worry also evidenced a strong, graded relationship with anxiety, which diminished at wave 5 (waves 1-5 “mild” to “moderate to severe” ORs from 3.37-2.27 to 6.23-3.40, all $P<.001$); its relationship with depression was somewhat weaker. Testing positive for COVID-19 in the past 2 months (or believing one had COVID-19) was associated consistently with higher depression. Perceived COVID-19 risk was associated with higher anxiety,

with small effects (waves 1-5 “moderately to very high” ORs 1.39-1.35, all $P<.001$). Knowing 2 or more people (vs knowing no one) who had died from COVID-19 (measured only at wave 5) was associated with both outcomes, with small effects (wave 5 depression OR=1.27, $P<.001$; wave 5 anxiety OR 1.38, $P<.001$). At wave 5, being partially vaccinated (vs no vaccination) was associated with less anxiety, with small effects (wave 5 OR 0.79, $P<.001$). With regard to COVID-19-related coping, reporting any (vs no) avoidance behaviors was associated consistently with more depression and anxiety, with small-to-moderate effect sizes, which were greater for depression than anxiety (waves 1-5 depression ORs 2.31-2.54, all $P<.001$; waves 1-5 anxiety ORs 1.43-1.45, all $P<.001$). Lower approach-oriented coping was associated consistently with greater depression (but not anxiety) across waves, with small effect sizes (waves 1-5 “low approach” depression ORs 1.67-2.19, all $P<.001$).

Psychological Variables

Of the general psychological variables, lower tolerance of uncertainty evidenced the strongest, graded relationships with depression (waves 1-5 “low” to “medium” ORs from 7.36-6.09 to 3.14-2.93, all $P<.001$) and anxiety (waves 1-5 “low” to “medium” ORs from 7.23-7.40 to 3.03-3.45, all $P<.001$). Respondents reporting any (vs no) loneliness also reported more depression (waves 1-5 ORs 3.35-3.60, all $P<.001$) and anxiety (waves 1-5 ORs 2.00-2.82, all $P<.001$) across waves, with moderate-to-large effect sizes, which were slightly larger for depression than anxiety.

Discussion

Principal Findings

Findings from 5 waves of large, nationally representative samples provided substantial evidence that the US population has experienced increased rates of clinically relevant depression and anxiety in response to the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, which have been sustained across the majority of the first year of the pandemic. Rates of moderate-to-severe depression (n=17,918, 24.1%) and anxiety (n=23,723, 31.9%) were much higher than documented prepandemic levels of depression (n=7%) [11] and anxiety (6.1%) [12]. Logistic regression analyses revealed that in general, the magnitude of associations of sociodemographic and other variables with mental health outcomes did not evidence a consistent pattern of change over the year. Of the sociodemographic variables, age was most robustly and reliably associated with the outcomes. Consistent with other research, younger adults (age<44 years) demonstrated a substantially higher likelihood of reporting moderate-to-severe depression compared to adults ≥ 65 years old [13-15]. These findings contribute to the literature by demonstrating that the risk for depression to younger adults persisted late into the pandemic, whereas older adults began to decline in depression and anxiety. These findings have important implications for mental health both now and in the future. MDD is episodic in nature, and a documented risk factor for recurrent episodes is the frequency and duration of prior episodes [16]. Promoting mental health awareness and psychoeducation will be crucial to reaching young adults, as will making mental health care

easily accessible through integration with primary care and leveraging technology to deliver remote care. Research is needed to identify novel methods to reach younger adults to assess for mental well-being as well as deliver mental health care that is sensitive to and able to address specific generational differences in the experience of the pandemic that may contribute to worse mental health outcomes [17].

Over and above sociodemographic factors, the strongest and most persistent COVID-19-related factors related to the outcomes were testing positive for COVID-19 (for depression), perceiving stress from pandemic-related restrictions, worry, and coping behaviors related to the pandemic. Presumably, the COVID-specific factors contributing to mental health outcomes will become less relevant as the pandemic wanes, with the exception of potentially chronic effects of having the disease. However, even in the light of efforts to manage the pandemic through vaccination and ongoing implementation of mask recommendations/mandates, COVID-19 continues to be diagnosed in the vaccinated and especially the unvaccinated, and research has emerged related to effects of long COVID-19 [18]. Thus, COVID-19-related stress, worry, and coping behaviors continue to be significant factors in COVID-19-related depression and anxiety that warrant long-term monitoring. Specifically, individuals who have been diagnosed with COVID-19 warrant long-term monitoring for symptoms of depression.

Among general psychological factors, a lower tolerance of uncertainty was the most potently and consistently associated with outcomes. Loneliness was also associated with a greater likelihood of moderate-to-severe depression and anxiety. Loneliness has been identified by numerous studies as an increasing contributor to mental health outcomes, such as depression and anxiety, as well as an indicator of diminished quality of life per se, especially following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. Evidence also suggests that interventions designed to improve social connection behaviorally and challenge patterns of thinking that contribute to loneliness (ie, cognitive behavioral therapy [CBT]) are effective at reducing perceived loneliness and associated depressive symptoms [19,20]. Recent research has explored digital applications of these CBT principles, a delivery method that is recognized as critical to intervention dissemination, particularly with the necessity of remote delivery of services in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic [21]. Similarly, CBT-based interventions for intolerance of uncertainty should also be emphasized and disseminated, given present findings. For example, mindfulness-based interventions that promote tolerance of psychological experiences [22] and CBT-based interventions that promote adaptive coping and disconfirmation of feared outcomes may be beneficial for individuals with chronic intolerance of uncertainty [23,24].

Conclusion

In summary, data from large, nationally representative samples of adults collected at 5 waves over a year’s period reveal that symptoms of depression and anxiety are markedly elevated from shortly after COVID-19 was first diagnosed in the United States through more than 1 year later. Health care professionals

should monitor at-risk groups, particularly younger adults, adults who evidence intolerance of uncertainty or loneliness, and those who have had the disease. This study identified both vulnerable

groups and psychological processes that can be targeted to promote the psychological health of the population as the nation continues to move through profoundly challenging times.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Gruber J, Prinstein MJ, Clark LA, Rottenberg J, Abramowitz JS, Albano AM, et al. Mental health and clinical psychological science in the time of COVID-19: challenges, opportunities, and a call to action. *Am Psychol*. Apr 10, 2021;76(3):409-426. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1037/amp0000707](https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000707)] [Medline: [32772538](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32772538/)]
2. Vindegaard N, Benros ME. COVID-19 pandemic and mental health consequences: systematic review of the current evidence. *Brain Behav Immun*. Oct 30, 2020;89:531-542. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.048)] [Medline: [32485289](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32485289/)]
3. Wang Y, Kala MP, Jafar TH. Factors associated with psychological distress during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on the predominantly general population: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(12):e0244630. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0244630](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244630)] [Medline: [33370404](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33370404/)]
4. Tausanovitch C, Vavreck L, Reny T, Hayes AR, Rudkin A. Democracy Fund + UCLA Nationscape Methodology and Representativeness Assessment. URL: https://www.voterstudygroup.org/uploads/reports/Data_NS-Methodology-Representativeness-Assessment.pdf [accessed 2021-09-30]
5. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*. Sep 2001;16(9):606-613. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x)] [Medline: [11556941](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11556941/)]
6. Pilkonis PA, Choi SW, Reise SP, Stover AM, Riley WT, Cella D, et al. PROMIS Cooperative Group. Item banks for measuring emotional distress from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS®): depression, anxiety, and anger. *Assessment*. Sep 2011;18(3):263-283. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/1073191111411667](https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191111411667)] [Medline: [21697139](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21697139/)]
7. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub JK. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. Feb 1989;56(2):267-283. [doi: [10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267)] [Medline: [2926629](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2926629/)]
8. Stanton AL, Kirk SB, Cameron CL, Danoff-Burg S. Coping through emotional approach: scale construction and validation. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. Jun 2000;78(6):1150-1169. [doi: [10.1037/0022-3514.78.6.1150](https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.78.6.1150)] [Medline: [10870915](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10870915/)]
9. Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two population-based studies. *Res Aging*. 2004;26(6):655-672. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/0164027504268574](https://doi.org/10.1177/0164027504268574)] [Medline: [18504506](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18504506/)]
10. Buhr K, Dugas MJ. The Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale: psychometric properties of the English version. *Behav Res Ther*. Aug 2002;40(8):931-945. [doi: [10.1016/s0005-7967\(01\)00092-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(01)00092-4)] [Medline: [12186356](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12186356/)]
11. Villarroel MA, Terlizzi EP. Symptoms of depression among adults: United States, 2019. *NCHS Data Brief*. Sep 2020;(379):1-8. [Medline: [33054920](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33054920/)]
12. Terlizzi EP, Villarroel MA. Symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder among adults: United States, 2019. *NCHS Data Brief*. Sep 2020;(378):1-8. [Medline: [33054928](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33054928/)]
13. Palgi Y, Shrira A, Ring L, Bodner E, Avidor S, Bergman Y, et al. The loneliness pandemic: loneliness and other concomitants of depression, anxiety and their comorbidity during the COVID-19 outbreak. *J Affect Disord*. Oct 01, 2020;275:109-111. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.036)] [Medline: [32658811](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32658811/)]
14. Varma P, Junge M, Meaklim H, Jackson ML. Younger people are more vulnerable to stress, anxiety and depression during COVID-19 pandemic: a global cross-sectional survey. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. Jul 13, 2021;109:110236. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110236](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110236)] [Medline: [33373680](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33373680/)]
15. Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, Lui LMW, Gill H, Phan L, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. Dec 01, 2020;277:55-64. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.08.001)] [Medline: [32799105](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32799105/)]
16. Solomon DA, Keller MB, Leon AC, Mueller TI, Lavori PW, Shea MT, et al. Multiple recurrences of major depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry*. Feb 2000;157(2):229-233. [doi: [10.1176/appi.ajp.157.2.229](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.2.229)] [Medline: [10671391](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10671391/)]
17. Holmes EA, O'Connor RC, Perry VH, Tracey I, Wessely S, Arseneault L, et al. Multidisciplinary research priorities for the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action for mental health science. *Lancet Psychiatry*. Jun 15, 2020;7(6):547-560. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/S2215-0366\(20\)30168-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30168-1)] [Medline: [32304649](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32304649/)]
18. Sudre CH, Murray B, Varsavsky T, Graham MS, Penfold RS, Bowyer RC, et al. Attributes and predictors of long COVID. *Nat Med*. Apr 2021;27(4):626-631. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1038/s41591-021-01292-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01292-y)] [Medline: [33692530](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33692530/)]
19. Cacioppo S, Grippo AJ, London S, Goossens L, Cacioppo JT. Loneliness: clinical import and interventions. *Perspect Psychol Sci*. Mar 2015;10(2):238-249. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/1745691615570616](https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691615570616)] [Medline: [25866548](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25866548/)]
20. Masi CM, Chen H, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A meta-analysis of interventions to reduce loneliness. *Pers Soc Psychol Rev*. Aug 2011;15(3):219-266. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1177/108868310377394](https://doi.org/10.1177/108868310377394)] [Medline: [20716644](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20716644/)]

21. Boucher EM, McNaughton EC, Harake N, Stafford JL, Parks AC. The impact of a digital intervention (Happify) on loneliness during COVID-19: qualitative focus group. *JMIR Ment Health*. Feb 08, 2021;8(2):e26617. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.2196/26617](https://doi.org/10.2196/26617)] [Medline: [33498011](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33498011/)]
22. Papenfuss I, Lommen MJ, Grillon C, Balderston NL, Ostafin BD. Responding to uncertain threat: a potential mediator for the effect of mindfulness on anxiety. *J Anxiety Disord*. Jan 2021;77:102332. [FREE Full text] [doi: [10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102332](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102332)] [Medline: [33160276](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33160276/)]
23. Rettie H, Daniels J. Coping and tolerance of uncertainty: predictors and mediators of mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am Psychol*. Apr 03, 2021;76(3):427-437. [doi: [10.1037/amp0000710](https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000710)] [Medline: [32744841](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32744841/)]
24. Robichaud M, Dugas MJ. A cognitive-behavioral treatment targeting intolerance of uncertainty. In: Davey GCL, Wells A, editors. *Worry and Its Psychological Disorders: Theory, Assessment, and Treatment*. Chichester, UK: Wiley; 2006:289-304.

Abbreviations

CBT: cognitive behavioral therapy

MDD: major depressive disorder

OR: odds ratio

PHQ-8: Patient Health Questionnaire-8

PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcome Measurement Information System

UCLA: University of California, Los Angeles

Edited by J Torous; submitted 14.Sep.2021; peer-reviewed by G Wu, J Torous; comments to author 23.Oct.2021; revised version received 26.Nov.2021; accepted 24.Dec.2021; published 10.Feb.2022

Please cite as:

MacDonald JJ, Baxter-King R, Vavreck L, Naeim A, Wenger N, Sepucha K, Stanton AL

Depressive Symptoms and Anxiety During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Large, Longitudinal, Cross-sectional Survey

JMIR Ment Health 2022;9(2):e33585

URL: <https://mental.jmir.org/2022/2/e33585>

doi: [10.2196/33585](https://doi.org/10.2196/33585)

PMID: [35142619](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/35142619/)

©James J MacDonald, Ryan Baxter-King, Lynn Vavreck, Arash Naeim, Neil Wenger, Karen Sepucha, Annette L Stanton. Originally published in JMIR Mental Health (<https://mental.jmir.org>), 10.Feb.2022. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Mental Health, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://mental.jmir.org/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.