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Abstract

Background: Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a prevalent psychiatric condition that is associated with symptoms such as hyperarousal and overreactions. Treatments for PTSD are limited to medications and in-session therapies. Assessing the way the heart responds to PTSD has shown promise in detecting and understanding the onset of symptoms.

Objective: This study aimed to extract statistical and mathematical approaches that researchers can use to analyze heart rate (HR) data to understand PTSD.

Methods: A scoping literature review was conducted to extract HR models. A total of 5 databases including Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (Medline) OVID, Medline EBSCO, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) EBSCO, Excerpta Medica Database (Embase) Ovid, and Google Scholar were searched. Non–English language studies, as well as studies that did not analyze human data, were excluded. A total of 54 studies that met the inclusion criteria were included in this review.

Results: We identified 4 categories of models: descriptive time-independent output, descriptive and time-dependent output, predictive and time-independent output, and predictive and time-dependent output. Descriptive and time-independent output models include analysis of variance and first-order exponential; the descriptive time-dependent output model includes a classical time series analysis and mixed regression. Predictive time-independent output models include machine learning methods and analysis of the HR-based fluctuation-dissipation method. Finally, predictive time-dependent output models include the time-variant method and nonlinear dynamic modeling.

Conclusions: All of the identified modeling categories have relevance in PTSD, although the modeling selection is dependent on the specific goals of the study. Descriptive models are well-founded for the inference of PTSD. However, there is a need for additional studies in this area that explore a broader set of predictive models and other factors (eg, activity level) that have not been analyzed with descriptive models.
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**Introduction**

**Background**

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a psychiatric condition that develops as a result of experiencing injury, severe psychological shock, and other trauma [1]. Individuals with PTSD are affected by the recall of traumatic experiences and often develop depression, anxiety, emotional instabilities, and suicidal thoughts [2]. Recent reports suggest that individuals with PTSD are about 5 times more likely to commit suicide than individuals without PTSD [3]. Approximately 10% of American women and 4% of American men experience PTSD in their lifetime [4]. PTSD is an endemic among veterans as well, affecting between 17% and 24% of veterans from recent conflicts [5].

Although an alarming number of individuals are afflicted with PTSD, there are significant barriers to care delivery [6,7]. These barriers include a shortage of qualified clinicians and understaffed mental health clinics, geographical constraints to accessing mental health facilities, financial obstacles, and cultural factors such as social stigma, and limited capabilities in objective diagnosis (currently limited to self-reported measures such as the PTSD checklist [PCL-5]) [8]. Studies have shown that self-management and factors such as positivity directly affect PTSD symptoms and ease in dealing with them [9]. Mobile health (mHealth) apps have shown promise in facilitating self-management (eg, education, mindfulness, and self-assessment) and have the potential to facilitate direct communication between people who have PTSD and their health care providers [10]. mHealth apps deployed on wearable devices (eg, smartwatches) that are equipped with an array of physiological sensors (eg, heart rate [HR]) may also enable continuous remote monitoring of signs and symptoms of PTSD. Indeed, recent efforts have shown promising applications of watch-based HR sensors to detect the onset of PTSD hyperarousal events [11].

**Objectives**

Despite recent work, the extent of knowledge on the physiological reactions to PTSD and, in particular, HR is limited, and research is needed to better understand the changes in HR associated with PTSD. Few models (eg, analysis of variance [ANOVA], regression analysis) have been developed to relate changes in heart activity to disorder states. In particular, given the opportunity to collect HR data nonintrusively, it is important to use appropriate mathematical and statistical methods to ensure the accumulation of convergent knowledge in this field and to characterize and understand HR in terms of PTSD. In this paper, we document the findings from a review of the current literature on measures and models used in various domains to analyze HR data. In addition to summarizing and synthesizing the HR analysis methods, we provide an evaluation of methods for applications relevant to PTSD detection and diagnosis.

**Methods**

**Search Strategy**

A scoping review was conducted using the strategies outlined in the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) methodology [12]. The scoping review approach was selected because it is effective for knowledge evaluation and gap identification [13]. The review spanned 5 main databases: (1) Medical Literature Analysis and Retrieval System Online (Medline) OVID, (2) Medline EBSCO, (3) Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) EBSCO, (4) Excerpta Medica Database (Embase) Ovid, and (5) Google Scholar. Search terms included *heart*, *pulse*, *heart rate*, *model*, *heart beat*, and *analysis*. All studies published in or after the year 2000 were included. This search was supplemented by a secondary search of cited articles in the results. The search was completed on January 15, 2020.

**Study Selection, Inclusion, and Exclusion Criteria**

Abstracts were reviewed for relevance, and articles that did not discuss HR-related measures in detail and did not provide or use quantitative methods for analysis were excluded. Other exclusion criteria were non–English language articles and articles that assessed non–heart-based physiological measures such as skin conductance and blood pressure. Furthermore, studies that did not analyze human physiology were excluded. The inclusion criteria were all articles that discussed human HR analysis. Our initial search yielded 1905 results. After removing duplicate articles and checking for eligibility using Rayyan QCRI (a web app for assisting literature reviews), 270 articles were further reviewed. Out of the 270, 138 were exclusively about non–heart-based measures reactions, 67 did not focus on human physiology, and 11 had duplicated content. Of these, 54 articles from the search were included in this review based on their relevance to the topic. Furthermore, the bibliography of references in each research paper was investigated thoroughly (backward search) to identify pertinent articles, and then Google Scholar searches (forward search) were conducted to find the full text. Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow chart for the article selection process.
Results

We listed the articles identified by the search process into 2 categories based on our synthesis: studies of the effects of PTSD on heart physiology and quantitative modeling techniques for heart data. We further partitioned studies of PTSD effects into 2 types: (1) studies that investigate the effect of PTSD on heart rate variability (HRV) and (2) studies that explore the effect of PTSD on HR. The literature on models can be further classified by the model’s focus on describing versus predicting data and the model output. These categories and subdivisions are discussed in the following sections.

Effects of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder on Heart Rate Variability

HRV measures variations in heartbeats and is related to the electrical activity of the heart [14]. Common frequency domain analysis metrics for HRV include high frequency power (HF), low frequency power (LF), the ratio of LF to HF, coherence score (COH), root mean square of successive differences between normal heartbeats (RMSSD), and the SD of the interbeat interval of normal sinus beats (SDNN) [15-18]. LF and HF are frequency bands of HRV that tend to correlate with parasympathetic nervous system activity. LF is the frequency activity in the range of 0.04 to 0.15 Hz, and HF is the activity in the range of 0.15 to 0.4 Hz. The quantified relative intensity of these measures is referred to as power [1], and such power is obtained by applying power spectral and frequency domain analyses [19].

The reviewed articles found that PTSD causes sustained changes in the autonomic nervous system (ANS; the part of the nervous system that is responsible for regulating automated functions in the body, such as heart activity) [20]. The ANS consists of the parasympathetic nervous system (PNS), which regulates blood pressure and breathing rate during rest, and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), which adjusts blood pressure and HR during activity. Heart activity is representative of the performance of these systems [21]. Various effects of PTSD on ANS have also been documented. Higher HR levels indicate lower HRV and are linked to increased rates of mental stress and physical activity [22,23]. PTSD, as a particular type of
anxiety disorder, also disturbs HR and HRV. HRV has been studied widely in the literature to assess PTSD [18,24-26]. Evidence suggests that individuals with PTSD have lower resting HRV than individuals without PTSD when other factors (age, gender, and health level) are controlled [27]. According to the meta-review Nagpal et al [1], HF, a measure for the parasympathetic activity of the ANS, is significantly lower in individuals with PTSD than in individuals without PTSD (approximately 0.6 ms²). However, LF, which assesses both the sympathetic and parasympathetic activity of the ANS, is slightly reduced in individuals with PTSD (approximately 0.2 ms²). This results in a significant increase in LF divided by HF of individuals with PTSD [1,28-30].

RMSSD and SDNN are time-domain measures of HRV. SDNN is an index of SNS activity [24]. SDNN is decreased in individuals with PTSD compared with healthy individuals (approximately 6.7 ms), showing an increase in sympathetic activity [1,31]. In addition, decreased levels of RMSSD was observed among individuals with PTSD (approximately 7.5 ms), suggesting lower vagal activity in this population [1,31].

Although an HRV analysis is common among studies of anxiety [32], some factors need to be considered when HRV measures are used. First, studies show that HRV is dependent on HR and cannot be analyzed independently to represent ANS activity [32,33]. In addition, previous research has linked high HRV to pathological conditions related to heart deficiencies [32]. For instance, diseases such as atrial fibrillation increase HRV and HR and are associated with higher mortality rates [34]. Hence, higher rates of HRV do not always indicate an abnormal mental state. Ideally, measurements should take into account patient’s comorbidities such as heart deficiencies in addition to subjective (eg, self-reported scales) and objective (eg, HRV, ECG) methods [35]. Gender, health, age, and HR also affect HRV, and they need to be considered as covariates when HRV measures are used [24]. Aging decreases HRV time-domain features such as SDNN [36,37]. HRV time-domain features increase with improved health conditions [38,39]. LF and SDNN are also lower in females than in males; however, the HF parameter of HRV is greater in women than in men [40]. Higher HR levels are also associated with decreased HRV [41] because when the heart beats faster, the beat-to-beat intervals are smaller. Other factors such as climate, job satisfaction, lifestyle, and medications can also affect HRV and should be considered as an influential factor when HRV is analyzed [42].

Effect of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder on Heart Rate

HR is the number of heartbeats per 60 seconds. Normal HR differs among individuals based on age and gender, health level, and respiratory activity [43]. Both HR and HRV are modulated by the ANS [44]. As the SNS activates, PNS activity is suppressed; therefore, HR increases and HRV decreases [45]. As a result, there is an inverse relationship between HR and HRV [33].

PTSD can affect HR in 2 modalities: resting and fluctuation tone [1,46-48]. Studies suggest that resting HR can be between 5 and 6.6 beats higher in individuals with PTSD than in individuals without PTSD depending on the type of population (eg, veteran, civilian) [49-51]. For example, resting HR is roughly higher than 5 beats per minute in civilians with PTSD than in civilians without PTSD, and this number increases to 6.6 beats per minute in the veteran population [51,52]. In the nonresting state, evidence suggests that HR increases with exposure to PTSD stressors [1].

Another HR measure that has been investigated in terms of PTSD is HR fluctuations (changes in HR levels) in the presence of stimuli [53]. There are conflicting findings on the comparison of this measure between individuals with and without PTSD. Although a study by Roy et al [54] showed that HR changes are higher in people with PTSD than in people without PTSD, a study by Halligan et al [55] claims the opposite.

Heart Rate Models

On the basis of our synthesis of the existing literature, we categorized mathematical models of HR into descriptive and predictive models, both of which could provide insight relevant to understanding the psychophysiological responses to PTSD. Descriptive methods can be used to describe and make inferences about a data set, whereas predictive methods can be applied to forecast trends and patterns in the data. Predictive and descriptive models can be further characterized by their type of output—time independent or time dependent (Figure 2). Time-dependent outputs use time as one of the descriptive variables to analyze the dependent variable(s) or output(s). Time-independent output, however, does not depend on time and does not change over time. Although the models reviewed below are summarized and synthesized for relevance to PTSD-related analysis, these methods are not limited to PTSD and anxiety disorder domains.
Figure 2. Taxonomy of heart rate analysis methods. ANOVA: analysis of variance.

**Descriptive Models**

**Time-Independent Output**

**Analysis of Variance**

Linear regression, and in particular ANOVA, is a statistical model used for the analysis of HR in several articles (Table 1). ANOVA can be used to compare HR trends and group means in experimental studies [56,57]. Studies have used ANOVA to account for the effectiveness of treatments in individuals with PTSD, as measured by HR [58]. Some studies chose ANOVA as their method of analysis to show that resting HR is higher in individuals with PTSD than in individuals without PTSD [57]. For example, the study by Gelpin et al [59] compared the resting HR in individuals pre-and posttreatment to measure the success of therapy sessions. Buckley et al [52] used ANOVA to compare resting HR in patients with PTSD with that of healthy controls, finding that patients with PTSD, in general, have significantly higher resting HR levels (approximately a 6 beats-per-minute difference). Although using ANOVA for the analysis of time-independent HR data is highly common, ANOVA is limited in several respects. ANOVA has strong assumptions and is ill-suited to model-dependent measures with strong temporal correlations. For instance, the independency of observations is one of the main assumptions of ANOVA; however, consecutive HR real-time-based data are a highly correlative type of data. Thus, ANOVA should not be used to make time-based HR predictions [60].

**First-Order Exponential Model**

A first-order exponential model provides a function with a sustained growth or decay rate [61]. In terms of HR analysis, first-order exponential models have been used to generate a nonlinear regression model for HR based on heart rate recovery (HRR) [62]. HRR is an indicator of vagal reactivation and SNS deactivation [63].

Bartels-Ferreira et al [63] used the first-order exponential method to measure postexercise time-independent HRR based on HR decay curves. Recovering from the onset of PTSD symptoms is associated with activation of vagal tone and withdrawal of SNS activity, both of which are correlated with HRR [64]. Although this method shows promise in the assessment of HR fluctuations associated with PTSD, the reviewed literature (Table 1) examined ANS in the context of physical activity, and HR decay after activity was curve fitted by a first-order exponential function [63]. In this case, the goodness of fit was moderate ($R^2$ was approximately 0.65), which warrants additional research. Another limitation associated with this method is that the exponential functions show erroneous patterns for very small (30-second) and very large (600-second) time windows [61]. For instance, Bartels-Ferreira et al [63] found that the least goodness of fit was for the smallest time window, which was 30 seconds ($R^2=0.42$). Conversely, when the length of the window of time was a moderate number (approximately 360 seconds), a relatively better goodness of fit was obtained (approximately 0.69). This shows that the HRR curve fitted by first-order exponential models performs better (higher $R^2$) when windows of times are neither too big nor too small. Table 1 shows a summary of articles that studied descriptive models with time-independent output. In this table, domain is the field of the study. Independent variables are factors that are controlled by researchers, and dependent variables are dependent on them. Independent variables are used to describe or classify dependent variable.
Table 1. Results of studies that used descriptive models with time-independent output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method and authors</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shalev et al [57]</td>
<td>PTSD</td>
<td>Gender, age, HR, trauma history, event security</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strath et al [65]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, oxygen intake, age, fitness</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romero-Ugalde et al [66]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>Accelerometer, energy expenditure, HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoueiry et al [67]</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>HR, hospitalization duration, age</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonhajzerova et al [68]</td>
<td>Physiology</td>
<td>Resting HR, major depressive disorder</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-order exponential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartels et al [63]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR peak, resting HR, HRR</td>
<td>HR variation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aANOVA: analysis of variance.  
bPTSD: posttraumatic stress disorder.  
cHR: heart rate.  
dHRR: heart rate recovery.

Time-Dependent Output

Classical Time Series Analysis

Classical time series analysis is a common statistical method that can analyze time-dependent data trends by looking into linear relationships. Classical time series analysis is also a promising method for analyzing HR and HR fluctuations as these measures are time-based [69,70].

Peng et al [70] applied time series analysis to examine the long-term correlation within HR data and its relation to heart diseases such as congestive heart failure. Using this method, the authors showed that there is some independency between beat-to-beat HR fluctuations in healthy people that does not exist in patients with cardiovascular disease. The findings further suggest that classical time series analysis is a promising direction for PTSD hyperarousal analysis because similar HR changes have been documented in patients with PTSD compared with healthy people in the presence of stimuli [71].

Beyond the analogous use case, the classical time series has several benefits compared with ANOVA. As the model explicitly considers autocorrelation, it does not require the assumption of independence of observations [72]. The models also have predictive capability and are well validated for illustrating trends and forecasting [73]. However, 1 drawback of this method is the stationary assumption (constant mean value of the series), which is not always reasonable in HR data (eg, when data are collected before and during exercise).

Mixed Regression Model

Mixed regression analysis has been used in the literature to evaluate physiological responses to energy expenditure [74]. This type of modeling can be applied with correlated observations. Thus, it is beneficial for psychophysiology analyses that need to account for individual similarities such as gender [60]. Multiple regression typically proceeds in a stepwise process with a focus on identifying 2 main effects: the population fixed effect and the random effect. The population-fixed effect explains similarities in the dataset (for instance HR), whereas the random effect represents the differences among observations (the error term). For instance, Gee et al [75] used respiration as a random effect to estimate HR and ultimately predict episodes of bradycardia in infants. Using a mixed regression method and accounting for respiration as a covariate, in this case, has increased the accuracy of the measured HR by 11%.

The ability of mixed regression models to account for individual differences makes them an advantageous choice for modeling PTSD. Several studies have identified significant individual differences in people with PTSD [1,57,76,77]. Specifically, HR and HRV levels are significantly affected by individual differences such as age, general health, and gender [24]. This type of modeling might produce similar results to ANOVA in many cases. However, in comparison with ANOVA, mixed regression models are more effective for data sets with missing values and multiple random effects [78]. This is important as in real-world and naturalistic studies, data sets with high rates of missing values are common and can be challenging to deal with [79]. Table 2 shows a comparison of time-dependent output methods.
Table 2. Results from studies that used descriptive models with time-dependent output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method and authors</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classical time series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [69]</td>
<td>Health care (patient data)</td>
<td>HR(^a), resting HR</td>
<td>Heartbeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazmi et al [33]</td>
<td>Physiology</td>
<td>HR, HRV(^b), time</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakeri et al [80]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, energy expenditure, accelerometer, age</td>
<td>Energy expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peng et al [70]</td>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>HR, heartbeat, time</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed regression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gee et al [75]</td>
<td>Biomedical</td>
<td>HR, heartbeat, respiration, time</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonomi et al [81]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, energy expenditure, photoplethysmography, accelerometer</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al [82]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, energy expenditure, different training paradigms, age, height, weight</td>
<td>Energy expenditure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)HR: heart rate.
\(^{b}\)HRV: heart rate variability.

Predictive Models

Time-Independent Output

Machine Learning Methods

Machine learning methods refer to a set of training and predictive algorithms that use data to learn complex trends associated with labels (eg, symptom presence) in a data set. Machine learning analysis is a multiple-step process consisting of dividing a data set into training and testing data (or leveraging resampling techniques such as cross-validation), developing a model from the training data, and evaluating the model on the testing data. This approach is advantageous relative to approaches that use all of the data for training a model (eg, ANOVA) and approximate metrics to evaluate generalizability (eg, adjusted \(R^2\)). Furthermore, the ability of machine learning algorithms to identify complex patterns in data sets make them a promising approach for analyzing physiological data that are often noisy.

The success of applying machine learning methods depends on the data used to train and evaluate the algorithm. Machine learning algorithms typically require large training sets—several thousand observations—and they implicitly assume that the data and associated labels are of equal quality. In cases where the data are noisy, or labels are unreliable, machine learning training algorithms may fail to converge to a generalizable solution. Furthermore, if the training data examples are biased (eg, nonrepresentative population samples), the machine learning algorithms trained on the data may also be similarly biased. It is often difficult to identify these issues through standard training and testing processes of machine learning algorithms; thus, machine learning analyses should be accompanied by descriptive analyses to obtain a better understanding of the data and potential errors or bias [83].

Most of the reviewed studies used HRV, along with machine learning algorithms to predict stress levels in individuals [84-86]. Machine learning studies evaluating HR have primarily focused on energy expenditure [87,88]. An exception is McDonald et al [11] who evaluated several machine learning algorithms—neural networks, decision trees, support vector machines, convolutional neural networks, and random forests—to predict the onset of PTSD symptoms in the veteran population. This study used HR data with a 1 Hz frequency (1 observation per second) as the input of these algorithms. Although the raw 1 Hz data were used to train the neural network–based models, additional feature generation and selection was performed before training the decision tree, support vector machine, and random forest algorithms. This feature generation identified linear trends, Fourier transforms, and change quantiles as relevant features for the detection of the onset of PTSD symptoms. Among all machine learning methods, support vector machines, and random forest algorithms performed best (ie, had the highest area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) 0.67). Although machine learning shows promise for the inferential analysis of HR data for PTSD research, explaining the purpose of machine learning components may be difficult, and often predictive results have a limited rational explanation [89].

Fluctuation-Dissipation Theory

The fluctuation-dissipation theory (FDT) is a common approach in thermodynamics that is used to predict system behavior by breaking the system responses into small forces [90]. This theorem, which follows thermodynamic rules, can model the HRR after stress moments.

Chen et al [91] used FDT to predict patients’ HR reactions to presupontaneous and postspontaneous breathing trial treatment. They used this method to divide the system (in this case, the treatment process) into different phases, including pretreatment,.midtreatment, and posttreatment. After breaking the entire treatment process to these small phases, each phase was modeled separately. The reactions to treatments in each phase were modeled using HRR measures. All models were then combined to create the final comprehensive model. Chen et al [91] found that thermodynamic rules can also model the HR response after stress moments. This is because of the similar effect of stress and spontaneous breathing trials on organs (a common clinical procedure used to assess the ventilation performance of patients). These researchers suggest dividing the system into prestress and poststress moments, modeling each phase, and finally...
assembling a model for final prediction. They further suggest that the HRR extracted from this type of modeling can be used to personalize care as HR can be remotely monitored through noninvasive hospital devices.

In terms of mathematical concepts, this type of modeling has a powerful predictive capability by grouping individuals and therefore minimizing the error rate [91]. This approach requires significantly less data than other methods, such as time-variant modeling of HR. Hence, it enables researchers to include more variables in their model. Moreover, Chen et al [91] claim that although models that use Gaussian functions have around 65% error rate to predict patients’ response to spontaneous breathing trial, implementing FDT decreases this error rate by over 10%. Therefore, this approach provides more accurate results than methods that use Gaussian functions, such as some machine learning algorithms (e.g., adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system [ANFIS]). A potential reason for this could be that the system is broken down into smaller pieces, where each part has its own specific and defining features. However, in ANFIS, the system was considered as a whole, and a set of features was defined for the entire system overlooking dissimilarities within the system. In addition, unlike most statistical approaches that make assumptions about the data, this method is assumption-free and is considered more robust to assumptions (e.g., normality of residuals, independency of measurements). Despite its promising application to the analysis of HR and the lack of restrictive assumptions, FDT is computationally intense. This means that the model needs a high level of proficiency in understanding the mathematics and statistics behind FDT. Especially, in comparison with approaches such as ANOVA, classical time series, and mixed regression, using this approach requires higher levels of domain knowledge, for example, studies in machine learning and FDT methods (Table 3).

### Time-Dependent Output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method and authors</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine learning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolus et al [87]</td>
<td>Biomedical (energy expenditure)</td>
<td>HR$, oxygen consumption, work rate</td>
<td>Work rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonald et al [11]</td>
<td>PTSD$^b$</td>
<td>HR, subjective stress moments</td>
<td>Stress moment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healey et al [86]</td>
<td>Driving</td>
<td>HR, HRV$^c$, skin conductance, muscle activity, muscle tension, breathing rate</td>
<td>To detect stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolus et al [88]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, maximum HR, oxygen consumption, body type, work rate</td>
<td>Work rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al [92]</td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>HR, body attitude information, body movement</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fluctuation-dissipation theory</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [91]</td>
<td>Health care</td>
<td>HR recovery, blood pressure, instantaneous HR</td>
<td>HR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$HR: heart rate.
$^b$PTSD: posttraumatic stress disorder.
$^c$HRV: heart rate variability.

### Time-Variant Modeling

Time-variant modeling is a mathematical approach used to analyze time-dependent data sets and provide a time-dependent output. Time-variant models of HR can generate HRR measures in real time. Some studies suggest that measuring HRR in real time can especially help assess arousals and arousalability in different individuals in response to mental stressors [93]. This shows promise for PTSD research given its potential to enable the comparison between the effect of internal stimuli (stressors generated through memory) and external stimuli (stressors generated from the environment) on the arousability of patients with PTSD.

Although time-variant modeling has been replicated in the literature and has shown promise in analyzing HR data [33,94], it is computationally intense. The process of solving the equations within the model includes defining multiplex matrices for each variable, which is time and space consuming. Moreover, time-variant modeling requires large data sets of HF (e.g., 100 Hz) HR data, which is often not feasible for real-time data collection instruments such as wearable devices that record continuous data for large windows of time (e.g., more than 30 min).

### Nonlinear Dynamic Modeling

Nonlinear dynamic modeling of HR consists of depicting HR as the output of a nonlinear dynamic system [95]. Nonlinear dynamic modeling of HR can be a promising method to assess arousal patterns by measuring SNS activity [96]. Hence, this approach may be useful for analyzing PTSD hyperarousal patterns as they are associated with SNS activity. Despite the advantages of this model, it requires high-frequency HR data (e.g., 100 Hz) or even instantaneous HR [96]. Instantaneous HR is an HR measure derived from HRV, which is different from raw HR measured by wearable devices. Instantaneous HR can be extracted by multiplying RR intervals (the time between two consecutive R waves of the HRV signal) by 60 and needs to be measured at an HF (>250 Hz), whereas smartwatches collect HR data with a much lower frequency (<5 Hz) [96].

https://mental.jmir.org/2020/7/e16654
This model accounts for the natural nonlinearity and time-dependent features of HR data. In addition, the learnability and predictability of this method can help detect the onset of symptoms in patients with PTSD. A limitation of this method for characterizing PTSD aspects is the assumption of invertibility [97]. This assumption indicates that all the variable matrices used in equations are required to be invertible. In many cases, and mainly in nonlaboratory settings, this assumption cannot be met [97]. Moreover, these methods are relatively slow and more computationally intense compared with other methods such as machine learning (for both training and testing the model) because they involve solving multiple complex mathematical equations [66]. Table 4 shows examples of predictive models with time-dependent output.

Table 4. Results from studies that used predictive models with time-dependent output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method and authors</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Independent variables</th>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lefever et al [94]</td>
<td>Sports science—biomedical</td>
<td>HR(^a), participants’ input power, road gradient,</td>
<td>HRV(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olufsen et al [98]</td>
<td>Biology, health care</td>
<td>HR, resting HR, blood pressure</td>
<td>HR regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [69]</td>
<td>Health care (patient data)</td>
<td>Resting HR, arterial blood pressure, HR, HRV</td>
<td>Heart beat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazmi et al [33]</td>
<td>Biophysics</td>
<td>Human normal sinus rhythm, human congestive heart rate failure</td>
<td>HRV (they look at the correlation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)HR: heart rate.  
\(^b\)HRV: heart rate variability.

Discussion

Descriptive Framework Based on the Summary of Findings

We categorized the methods used to analyze HR data into 2 categories: descriptive and predictive. In the context of PTSD, descriptive models may be used to characterize PTSD triggers and the factors that affect their occurrence, whereas predictive models may be useful to predict PTSD onset to facilitate timely intervention. The extracted models provide methods for evaluating, describing, comparing, interpreting, and understanding patterns in the HR data. However, interpreting the data in a meaningful way depends on the specific objectives of the study. The data at hand can be analyzed with one or many of the reviewed models based on the goal of the study and the assumptions of the models. Each model corresponds to a distinct type of output and different interpretations of the data with different assumptions. On the basis of the process of data collection, the number of observations, and variables in the data, researchers might choose one or a combination of models provided. Table 5 provides a framework for choosing a model based on the limitations, assumptions, and features of each model and the data at hand. Furthermore, Table 5 presents the articles that used a specific method.
Table 5. Descriptive framework for heart rate–related analysis methods extracted from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive, time-independent output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Normal distribution of residuals • Constant variance of populations • Independence and identically distributed observations</td>
<td>• Capable of comparing groups and looking at trends • Computationally simple</td>
<td>• Restrictive assumptions • Type 1 error • Just applicable to linear analysis</td>
<td>[47,52-54,57-59,65-68,99-102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A first-order exponential model</td>
<td>Continuous observations • Observations should be identical (eg, no age, gender difference) • Environmental effects are constant</td>
<td>• Easy to apply and learn • Gives higher weights to recent observations</td>
<td>• Not repeated in studies • Higher error rates than classical time series and mixed regression • Does not show trends • Not accurate for very small and very large windows of time</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Descriptive, time-dependent output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classical time series analysis</td>
<td>Stationary observations (constant mean values of series)</td>
<td>• Advantageous for analyzing time-based trends • Does not require independence of data points • Used in the literature to analyze cardiovascular disease • Includes linear and non-linear analysis</td>
<td>Requires stationary data sets</td>
<td>[33,69,70,80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed regression model</td>
<td>Normality of residuals distribution</td>
<td>• Accounts for differences between individuals (eg, age, gender) • Can be used for analyzing repeated measures • Can be applied to non-normal data</td>
<td>Cannot be used for non-linear models</td>
<td>[50,66,67,75,80-82,103-107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictive, time-independent output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine learning methods</td>
<td>Limited dependencies of the observations (each machine learning algorithm has its assumptions that need to be checked)</td>
<td>• Proactive algorithm (can be used for action-reaction type of data sets) • Powerful predictive method • Rapid analysis prediction, and processing • Simplifies time-intensive computations</td>
<td>Can over fit or under fit data • Cannot be applied to data sets with highly dependent variables • The process has little rational explanation</td>
<td>[11,86-88,92,108-110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluctuation-dissipation theory</td>
<td>Equilibrium system (the system and observations are not changing)</td>
<td>• Powerful predictive capability • Does not have restrictive assumptions such as normality of residuals • Significantly less data needed compared with a general data fitting approach</td>
<td>Computationally intense Time consuming</td>
<td>[70,91,111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Predictive, time-dependent output</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-variant modeling</td>
<td>Requires big data sets with high-frequency data points (more than 60 Hz)</td>
<td>• Can be used to describe data as well as forecasting the future</td>
<td>Computationally intense Slow process</td>
<td>[33,93,94,96,98,112-116]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fit Assessment

Fit assessment can be conducted to examine the efficiency of each method in modeling a specific dataset. Fit assessment is especially promising for comparing different methods if they are applied to the same data set. However, considering the wide range of applicable fit indices, researchers might struggle to compare them. In the category of descriptive models, \( R^2 \) and adjusted \( R^2 \) are the main indices of fit assessment. \( R^2 \) indicates the degree of variation in the dependent variable caused by the independent variable(s). Adjusted \( R^2 \) is a revised version of \( R^2 \) that accounts for the number of independent variables in a model [122]. Generally, adjusted \( R^2 \) is more promising than \( R^2 \) as it is more robust to overfitting [122]. In the prediction methods category, a variety of measures other than \( R^2 \) and adjusted \( R^2 \) were used to assess the quality of fit. Some of these measures include sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the ROC curve (AUC)-ROC. Sensitivity is the number of true-positives divided by the total number of observations, and specificity is the number of true-negatives divided by the total number of observations [123]. Accuracy is the number of true predictions divided by the total number of predictions. The error rate is 1 minus the accuracy or the number of wrong detections divided by the total number of observations [124]. Finally, AUC-ROC is a curve that plots the true-positive rate (Y axis) versus the false-positive rate (X axis) to measure the performance of the model. It is important to bear in mind that fit indices are data dependent; therefore, comparisons are the best made by fitting multiple models to the same data set.

In the statistical analysis of data in the PTSD domain, fit assessments have been used to show the efficiency of the results. For instance, McDonald et al [11] used ROC curves along with accuracy to show that random forest works better than other machine learning methods to predict hyperarousal moments in people with PTSD. Shalev et al [125] used sensitivity and specificity to predict the development of PTSD based on their instant responses to trauma. Bartels et al [63] applied adjusted \( R^2 \) to assess the goodness of fit for their proposed exponential model. Examples of fit adjustments are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6. Examples of fit assessment for different methods used in studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Fit measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strath et al [65]</td>
<td>ANOVA&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>HR&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, oxygen intake, age, fitness</td>
<td>( R^2 = 0.87 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zakeri et al [80]</td>
<td>Classical time series</td>
<td>HR, energy expenditure, accelerometer, age</td>
<td>( R^2 = 0.84 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McDonald et al [11]</td>
<td>Machine learning</td>
<td>HR, subjective stress moments</td>
<td>Area under receiver operating characteristics curve=0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healey et al [86]</td>
<td>Machine learning</td>
<td>HR, HRV&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;, skin conductance, muscle activity, muscle tension, breathing rate</td>
<td>Accuracy=97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [91]</td>
<td>Fluctuation-dissipation theory</td>
<td>HR recovery, blood pressure, instantaneous HR</td>
<td>Error rate=25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al [66]</td>
<td>Nonlinear dynamic</td>
<td>Resting HR, arterial blood pressure, HR, HRV</td>
<td>Sensitivity=0.941; predictability=0.988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>ANOVA: analysis of variance.
<br><sup>b</sup>HR: heart rate.
<br><sup>c</sup>HRV: heart rate variability.

Methodological Considerations for Heart Rate Assessments

The models identified in this review represent several promising directions for future exploration, but they also illustrate a hidden complexity in the use of HR data as model input. HR is impacted by individual characteristics including age, sex, health, resting HR, respiration, and lifestyle [24]. The maximum HR typically decreases with age. Females have higher HR levels than men [126]. Athletes have lower HR levels than sedentary people [127]. Resting HR is lower in more active people, and lower resting HRs result in lower HR levels [128]. As the respiratory system affects heart activity, studies suggest that incorporating respiration as a factor in HR models improves HR estimation significantly [78]. Lifestyle such as smoking habits affects HR as well; people who smoke have a higher HR than nonsmokers [129].
Beyond these general characteristics, it is important to consider the type of physical activity in the analysis. Physical activity significantly affects HR [130], where high-intensity activities such as running and cycling affect HR differently from low-intensity activities such as sitting and lying down [99]. Concerns regarding activity were common in the reviewed studies, particularly in the energy expenditure domain [131]. Green et al [131] suggested that body acceleration is a reliable indicator of physical activity and should be included in all analyses as a covariate or constraint. Although activity is directly related to energy expenditure outcomes, it is also relevant for studies investigating stress. Whereas some of the reviewed studies on stress included body acceleration in their analysis [100], many neglected this factor [46,132].

**Heart Rate Assessments in Anxiety Domains**

HR data have been widely investigated in the domains of physical activity and energy expenditure. Although there are some differences between the effects of mental stress on HR and the effects of physical activity on HR, there are many similarities that make these domains connected. Physical activity affects SNS performance in the short term and PNS performance in the long term [133]. As a result, HR increases during physical activities (due to SNS activation), and resting HR is lower in athletes who have higher rates of physical activity (because of PNS performance) [133].

Similarly, in terms of mental stress, whereas acute stress or immediate response to stressors activates SNS, chronic stress increases vagal and parasympathetic activity [134]. These similarities enable researchers in mental stress domains to employ models and pathways that are extracted in physical activity domains. For instance, one main measure that is used broadly to examine energy expenditure is HRR. This measure is an accepted indicator of SNS deactivation and PNS activation. Recovering from acute stress and arousability is also associated with the withdrawal of SNS and activation of PNS. As a result, HRR can be a proper measure to be considered in studies that examine acute stress.

**Limitations**

This scoping review attempted to include all articles that analyzed HR; however, it is still likely that some were overlooked. Furthermore, the authors categorized the HR models based on their own synthesis of the literature and relevance to PTSD. These models can be listed and categorized in a variety of ways, such as deterministic versus stochastic.

Another limitation of this review is that although the identified models have been applied across various domains (eg, energy expenditure and general stress prediction), to our knowledge, only 2 papers [11,57] directly applied these methods to data from patients diagnosed with PTSD. In particular, only 1 study [11] used a predictive approach in the PTSD domain. Other studies were primarily limited to linear descriptive statistics such as the t test or ANOVA [60,65–67]. These methods are valid for making inferences about PTSD and comparing their effects on HR among different groups. However, there is a need for additional studies in this area that explore a broader set of predictive models and other factors (eg, activity level) that have not been analyzed with descriptive models.

Beyond the specific application of these models to PTSD, there are several more general challenges. The reviewed research often proceeded independently, with few links between the various studies. This diversity makes comparisons across studies difficult. Studies have used different data sets with different variables based on individual goals. Furthermore, the reviewed work often focused on testing 1 specific model rather than a broad comparison. Often critical details, such as the model and parameter selection process, were not reported in the articles. Another critical detail often not addressed in the reviewed studies was the mismatch between the model requirements and the sampling rates, which may result in conditions such as overfitting [135].

Collectively, these limitations suggest a need for substantial additional work in modeling the relationship between HR and PTSD. Future studies should consider comparisons between several models, analyze or explicitly discuss decisions made throughout the modeling process, and comprehensively document their HR data collection. As future studies are conducted that enact these criteria, the utility of the modeling approaches identified here will become clearer, and the path to more effective PTSD treatments will become more attainable.

**Conclusions**

The goals of this review were to identify and characterize quantitative HR models for relevant applications in PTSD. One of the gaps in this area is the absence of a framework that researchers can use before, during, and after their data collection to choose a method to analyze HR data. In this regard, we developed a descriptive framework that can be used to determine the method to apply to HR data to achieve more efficient results. We identified 4 broad categories of methods: descriptive time-independent output, descriptive time-dependent output, predictive time-independent output, and predictive time-dependent output. Descriptive time-independent output models include ANOVA and first-order exponential, whereas descriptive time-dependent output models include classical time series analysis and mixed regression. Predictive time-independent output models include machine learning methods and analysis of HR-based FDT. Finally, predictive time-independent output models include the time-variant method and nonlinear dynamic modeling.

All of the identified modeling categories have relevance in PTSD, although modeling selection is highly dependent on the specific goals of the modeler. For instance, one might use ANOVA to examine the differences in resting HR in individuals with PTSD versus without PTSD [54].
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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANFIS</td>
<td>adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>analysis of variance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANS</td>
<td>autonomic nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC-ROC</td>
<td>area under the receiver operating characteristics curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COH</td>
<td>coherence score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDT</td>
<td>fluctuation-dissipation theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>high frequency power</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HR: heart rate
HRR: heart rate response
HRV: heart rate variability
LF: low frequency power
mHealth: mobile health
PNS: parasympathetic nervous system
PRISMA: preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses
PTSD: posttraumatic stress disorder
RMSSD: root mean square of successive differences between normal heart beats
SDNN: SD of the interbeat interval of normal sinus beats
SNS: sympathetic nervous system
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